

(2021) 07 GUJ CK 0014

In the Gujarat High Court

Case No : R/Special Criminal Application No. 3801 Of 2021

Ajit Kumar Anilbhai Patel

APPELLANT

Vs

State Of Gujarat

RESPONDENT

Date of Decision : 05-07-2021

Acts Referred:

Constitution Of India, 1950 — Article 226, 227
Gujarat Prohibition Act, 1949 — Section 98, 99

Citation : (2021) 07 GUJ CK 0014

Hon'ble Judges : Vipul M. Pancholi, J

Bench : Single Bench

Advocate : Dineshkumar D Gautam, DM Devnani

Final Decision : Allowed

Judgement

Vipul M. Pancholi, J

1. The petitioner has preferred this petition, seeking to invoke extraordinary jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 and supervisory jurisdiction

under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for the release of the muddamal vehicle i.e. Maruti Suzuki Alto LXI bearing Registration No.GJ-01-HN-

2213.

2. Learned advocate for the petitioner submitted that on registration of the FIR being C.R. No.11191035202466 of 2020 registered with Naroda Police

Station, District Ahmedabad city, for the offences under the provision of the Gujarat Prohibition Act, the vehicle of the petitioner has been seized as

muddamal in connection with the aforesaid offence, however, the said vehicle is duly registered with the transport department of the Government and

in support of it, RC Book is placed on record at Page No.15 of the compilation.

3. Learned advocate for the petitioner submitted that for the release of the vehicle in question, the petitioner had approached the concerned Magistrate Court, however, the said application came to be rejected by an order dated 31.12.2020 and, hence, the petitioner had approached the concerned Sessions Court against the said order, which also came to be rejected by an order dated 16.2.2021 and, therefore, the present petition is filed for the release of the vehicle in question. He further, under the instructions, submitted that the petitioner is the registered owner of the vehicle in question and till date, the vehicle in question is not involved in any other case and even no one has claimed for the interim custody of the muddamal vehicle and if the interim custody of the said vehicle is handed over to the petitioner, he will abide by the conditions that may be imposed by this Court while handing over the vehicle. He further submits under the instructions that the vehicle in question is not involved in any other case. He, therefore, urged that this petition may be allowed on suitable conditions.

4. Learned advocate for the petitioner has urged that this Court has wide powers, while exercising such powers under Article 226 of the Constitution.

It can also take into account the ratio laid down in the case of 'SUNDERBHAI AMBALAL DESAI VS. STATE OF GUJARAT', reported in AIR

2003 SC 638, wherein, the Apex Court lamented the scenario of number of vehicles having been kept unattended and becoming junk within the police

station premises. Learned advocate has also placed reliance upon the orders passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court.

5. Learned APP for the respondent-State has strongly objected the submissions made by learned advocate for the petitioner and submitted that the

vehicle in question was used for transporting liquor by the accused and if this motor vehicle would be released, it will be used for transporting liquor by

the petitioner. However after referring to the documents produced on record with regard registration of certification and Identity Card, it is submitted

that the petitioner is the owner of the vehicle.

6. On thus hearing both the sides, without determining the other issues raised by the petitioner, in reference to Sections 98 and 99 and other provisions

of the said Act and reserving that to be determined in future, in an appropriate proceedings being a contentious issue, this Court chooses not to enter

into that arena in the present matter and instead exercise the powers under

Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution.

7. Further, from the submissions canvassed by learned advocate for the petition, it is revealed that if the vehicle in question is not released, ultimately it

would reduce to scrape and further the land / space of the campuses of police stations are also reduced to scrapyards. As against this, continuing the

vehicle in police custody as muddamal, for various reasons, hardly turns out to be a factor for furtherance of dispensation of justice, on conclusion of

the trial, as and when that stage is reached.

8. The Co-ordinate Benches of this Court in number of cases, some of which are noted above, have released the vehicles. This Court has taken into

consideration those decisions and the judgments / orders referred in those decisions. Having considered the same, taking any different view would not

be proper.

9. Resultantly, this application is allowed. The authority concerned is directed to release the vehicle of the petitioner, muddamal vehicle i.e. Maruti

Suzuki Alto LXI bearing Registration No.GJ-01-HN-2213, on the terms and conditions that the petitioner:

(i) furnish a solvent surety of the amount equivalent to the value of the vehicle in question as per the value disclosed in the seizure memo or

panchnama;

(ii) shall file an undertaking before the trial Court that prior to alienation or transfer in any mode or manner, prior permission of the concerned Court

shall be taken till conclusion of the trial;

(iii) shall also file an undertaking to produce the vehicle as and when directed by the trial Court;

(iv) in the event of any subsequent offence, the vehicle shall stand confiscated .

(v) shall not use this vehicle in transporting liquor in future.

(vi) Before handing over the possession of the vehicle to the petitioner, necessary photographs shall be taken and a detailed panchnama in that regard,

if not already drawn, shall also be drawn for the purpose of trial.

(vii) If, the IO finds it necessary, videography of the vehicle also shall be done. Expenses towards the photographs and the videography shall be borne

by the petitioner.

10. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Direct service is permitted.

Registry to communicate this order to the concerned Court/ authority forthwith.