

(2019) 12 GUJ CK 0100
In the Gujarat High Court

Case No : R/Criminal Misc.Application No. 21570 Of 2019

Bharatbhai Vijubhai Bhat (Barot)

APPELLANT

Vs

State Of Gujarat

RESPONDENT

Date of Decision : 16-12-2019

Acts Referred:

Code Of Criminal Procedure, 1973 — Section 439

Indian Penal Code, 1860 — Section 386, 465, 467, 504, 506(2)

Prevention Of Money Laundering Act, 2002 — Section 33(1), 38, 40, 42, 43

Citation : (2019) 12 GUJ CK 0100

Hon'ble Judges : A.Y. Kogje, J

Bench : Single Bench

Advocate : Kartikkumar G Barot, Dhaval G Barot, Sanket K Pandya, LB Dabhi

Final Decision : Allowed

Judgement

A.Y. Kogje, J

1. This application is filed by the applicant under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for regular bail in connection with FIR

registered as C.R. No.I-111 of 2019 with Himmatnagar 'A' Division Police Station, Sabarkantha, for the offence punishable under Sections 465, 467,

386, 504, 506(2) of the Indian Penal Code and under Section 33(1), 38, 40, 42 and 43 of Money Laundering Act.

2. Learned advocate appearing on behalf of the applicant submits that considering the nature of offence, the applicant may be enlarged on regular bail by imposing suitable conditions.

3. On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State has opposed grant of regular bail looking to the nature and gravity of the offence.

4. Learned Advocates appearing on behalf of the respective parties do not press for a further reasoned order.

5. I have heard the learned advocates appearing on behalf of the respective parties and perused the papers. Following aspects are considered :-

I) The F.I.R. is registered on 15-10-2019 for the offence which is alleged to have taken place between year 2015 to 2018;

II) The applicant is in custody since 18-10-2019;

III) Investigation is concluded and charge-sheet is filed;

IV) Learned Advocate for the applicant submitted that the applicant is falsely implicated in the offence and the applicant is Lawyer by profession. It is

submitted that admittedly even from the FIR, the complainant has taken money from the applicant by way of loan. However, to avoid liability to repay,

false FIR is registered.

V) Co-accused identically situated have been enlarged on regular bail. Hence, applying principle of parity.

VI) Learned Additional Public Prosecutor under the instructions of the Investigating Officer is unable to bring on record any special circumstances

against the applicant.

This Court has taken into consideration the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of Sanjay Chandra v. Central Bureau of Investigation

reported in [2012] 1 SCC 40.

6. In the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the nature of the allegations made against the applicant in the First Information Report,

without discussing the evidence in detail, prima facie, this Court is of the opinion that this is a fit case to exercise the discretion and enlarge the

applicant on regular bail.

7. Hence, the present application is allowed. The applicant is ordered to be released on regular bail in connection with C.R. No.I-111 of 2019 with

Himmatnagar 'A' Division Police Station, Sabarkantha, on executing a personal bond of Rs.10,000/= (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with one surety of

the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court and subject to the conditions that he shall;

(a) not take undue advantage of liberty or misuse liberty;

(b) not act in a manner injurious to the interest of the prosecution & shall not

obstruct or hamper the police investigation and shall not to play mischief with the evidence collected or yet to be collected by the police;

(c) surrender passport, if any, to the Trial Court within a week;

(d) not leave the State of Gujarat without prior permission of the Trial Court concerned;

(e) not to enter Himmatnagar for the period of three months except for marking presence and attending the trial;

(f) mark presence before the concerned Police Station once in a month for a period of six months between 11.00 a.m. and 2.00 p.m.;

(g) furnish the present address of his residence to the Investigating Officer and also to the Court at the time of execution of the bond and shall not

change the residence without prior permission of Trial Court;

8. The authorities will release the applicant only if he is not required in connection with any other offence for the time being. If breach of any of the

above conditions is committed, the Sessions Judge concerned will be free to issue warrant or take appropriate action in the matter.

9. Bail bond to be executed before the lower Court having jurisdiction to try the case. It will be open for the concerned Court to delete, modify and/or

relax any of the above conditions, in accordance with law.

10. At the trial, the trial Court shall not be influenced by the observations of preliminary nature qua the evidence at this stage made by this Court while

enlarging the applicant on bail.

11. The application is allowed in the aforesaid terms. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Direct Service is permitted.