

(2024) 05 GUJ CK 0078

In the Gujarat High Court

Case No : R/Criminal Misc.Application (For Anticipatory Bail) No. 9761 Of 2024

Dilipbhai Narsengbhai Joshi

APPELLANT

Vs

State Of Gujarat

RESPONDENT

Date of Decision : 27-05-2024

Acts Referred:

Code Of Criminal Procedure, 1973 — Section 438
Indian Penal Code, 1860 — Section 114, 306, 506(2)

Citation : (2024) 05 GUJ CK 0078

Hon'ble Judges : M. K. Thakker, J

Bench : Single Bench

Advocate : P P Majmudar, Suraj B Matieda, H.K. Patel

Final Decision : Allowed

Judgement

M. K. Thakker, J

1. Rule. Learned APP, Mr.H.K. Patel waives service of notice of Rule on behalf of respondent-State.

2. By way of the present application under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, the applicant-accused has prayed for anticipatory bail in connection with the FIR being C.R. No. I – 11195030240082 of 2024 registered with Mavsari Police Station, for the offenses punishable under Sections 306, 506(2) and 114 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned advocate for the applicant submits that in the suicide note except reference of name of the applicant as main accused, no any allegations are made by the deceased with regard to instigating or aiding to commit suicide. He further submits that the applicant will keep himself available during the course of investigation, trial also and will not flee from justice.

4. Learned advocate for the applicant on instructions states that the applicant is ready and willing to abide by all the conditions including imposition of conditions

with regard to powers of Investigating Agency to file an application before the competent Court for his remand. He further submit that upon filing of such application by the Investigating Agency, the right of applicant accused to oppose such application on merits may be kept open. Learned advocate, therefore, submitted that considering the above facts, the applicant may be granted anticipatory bail.

5. Having heard the learned advocates for the parties and perusing the material placed on record and taking into consideration the facts of the case, nature of allegations, gravity of offences, role attributed to the accused, without discussing the evidence in detail, at this stage, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the applicant.

6. Following aspects were considered for granting anticipatory bail:

(a) The applicant though named in the suicide note as main accused, no any allegations with regard to instigating or aiding suicide note was made.

(b) All the allegations with regard to playing online gambling made in the suicide note against the accused nos. 1 and 2.

(c) No any allegations made in the suicide note with regard to payment of any amount to the applicant or administering threats to the deceased.

7. In the facts and circumstances of the present case, I am inclined to consider the case of the applicant.

8. This Court has also taken into consideration the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre Vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors., reported at [2011] 1 SCC 694, wherein the Hon'ble Apex Court reiterated the law laid down by the Constitution Bench in the case of Shri Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab, reported at (1980) 2 SCC 565.

9. In the result, the present application is allowed. The applicant is ordered to be released on bail in the event of his arrest in connection with a FIR being C.R. No. I – 11195030240082 of 2024 registered with Mavsari Police Station, on executing a personal bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with one surety of like amount on the following conditions:

(a) shall cooperate with the investigation and make himself available for interrogation whenever required;

(b) shall remain present at concerned Police Station on 01.06.2024 between 11.00 a.m. and 2.00 p.m.;

(c) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the fact of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer;

(d) shall not obstruct or hamper the police investigation and not to play mischief

with the evidence collected or yet to be collected by the police;

(e) shall at the time of execution of bond, furnish the address to the investigating officer and the court concerned and shall not change his residence till the final disposal of the case till further orders;

(f) shall not leave India without the permission of the concerned trial court and if having passport shall deposit the same before the concerned trial court within a week; and

(g) it would be open to the Investigating Officer to file an application for remand if he considers it proper and just and the learned Magistrate would decide it on merits;

10. Despite this order, it would be open for the Investigating Agency to apply to the competent Magistrate, for police remand of the applicant. The applicant shall remain present before the learned Magistrate on the first date of hearing of such application and on all subsequent occasions, as may be directed by the learned Magistrate. This would be sufficient to treat the accused in the judicial custody for the purpose of entertaining application of the prosecution for police remand. This is, however, without prejudice to the right of the accused to seek stay against an order of remand, if, ultimately, granted, and the power of the learned Magistrate to consider such a request in accordance with law. It is clarified that the applicant, even if, remanded to the police custody, upon completion of such period of police remand, shall be set free immediately, subject to other conditions of this anticipatory bail order.

11. At the trial, the concerned trial court shall not be influenced by the prima facie observations made by this Court in the present order.

12. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Direct service is permitted.