
(2020) 09 SHI CK 0462
In the High Court Of Himachal Pradesh
Case No : Execution Petition No. 389 Of 2020

Duni Chand

APPELLANT

Vs

Himachal Pradesh Tourism Development Corporation Limited
And Another

RESPONDENT

Date of Decision : 30-09-2020

Acts Referred:

Himachal Pradesh (Original Side) Rules, 1997 — Rule 16(1)

Citation : (2020) 09 SHI CK 0462

Hon'ble Judges : Sandeep Sharma, J

Bench : Single Bench

Advocate : Om Parkash Goel, Naresh Kaul

Final Decision : Disposed Of

Judgement

Sandeep Sharma, J

1. By way of instant Execution Petition filed under Rule 16(1) of the H.P. High Court Original Side Rules, prayer has been made on behalf of the petitioner for issuance of directions to the respondents to implement/ execute the judgment/ order dated 1.05.2019, passed by erstwhile H.P. State Administrative Tribunal in OA No.1743 of 2019, titled as Duni Chand Vs. The Himachal Pradesh Tourism Development Corporation and another.

2. Careful perusal of aforesaid order/judgment (Annexure C-1) alleged to have been violated, reveals that learned Tribunal below having taken note of the statement made by learned counsel representing the petitioner that the case of the petitioner is squarely covered under the judgment dated 17.7.2014 rendered by this Court in CWP No.3050 of 2014, titled Nek Ram versus State of Himachal Pradesh and others, disposed of the original application with a direction to the respondents /competent authority to grant benefit of aforesaid judgment to the petitioner, if he is found to be similarly situate, within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy of the order/judgment. Since,

despite there being specific direction to do the needful within a period of three months, respondents have failed to grant the benefit to the petitioner in terms of the judgment passed by this Court in Nek Ram's case supra, petitioner has approached this Court in the instant proceedings.

3. Mr. Naresh Kaul, learned counsel representing the respondents while accepting notice on behalf of the respondents, states that though he has every reason to believe and presume that by now aforesaid judgment/ order alleged to have been violated, must have been complied with, but if not, same would be complied with within a period of three weeks from today.

4. Consequently, in view of the fair statement made by learned counsel representing the respondents, this Court sees no reason to keep the present petition alive and as such, same is accordingly disposed of with the direction to the respondents to do the needful in terms of judgment/order dated 1.05.2019 passed by learned Tribunal below in OA No. 1743 of 2019, positively within a period of three weeks, if not already done, failing which, petitioner would be at liberty to get the present proceedings revived, so that appropriate action, in accordance with law, is taken towards implementation of the judgment/ order, sought to be executed in the instant proceedings.