
(2020) 01 AFT CK 0040
In the Armed Forces Tribunal
Case No : Original Application No. 301 Of 2019

Girish Talwar

APPELLANT

Vs

Union Of India And Others

RESPONDENT

Date of Decision : 08-01-2020

Acts Referred:

Citation : (2020) 01 AFT CK 0040

Hon'ble Judges : Sunita Gupta, J; Philip Campose, Member (A)

Bench : Division Bench

Advocate : B.P.Vaishnav, D K.Sabat

Final Decision : Disposed Of

Judgement

1. Arguments heard.

Vide separate order, OA stands disposed off.

Having been found medically and physically fit, the applicant was enrolled in the Indian Air Force on 01 04,1986 and was discharged from service on

12.06.2016. Before proceeding on discharge, the applicant was subjected to Release Medical Board (RMB) The Release Medical Board found that

the applicant was suffering from disabilities, namely, (1) PRIMARY HYPERTENSION(I10.0). (Z.09) with 30% and (2) Dyslipidemia (E 78.0)

(Z09.0) with 1-5% disablement for life Put the disability was held neither attributable to nor aggravated by Air Force Service.

2 Learned counsel for the applicant contended that the instant matter is squarely covered by a catena of decisions of the Honble Supreme Court

including Dharamvir Singh Vs. Union of India and Ors. (2013) 7 SCC 31,6 Union of India and Ors. Vs. Rajvir Singh (2015) 12 SCC 26 4and Union of

India and Ors Vs. Angad Singh Titaria, (2015) 12 SCC 257. Further, the claim of

the applicant is also supported by relevant rules.

3. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents contended that the applicant is not entitled to the relief claimed since the Release Medical Board.

being an Expert Body, found the disability Neither Attributable to Nor Aggravated by Service-.

4 Having heard learned counsel on both sides, we are of the view that the case on hand is squarely covered by the decisions referred to herein above.

In Dharamvir Singh (supra) the Hon'ble Supreme Court held that any disability sustained during the course of military service will be attributed to

service conditions unless the disability was such that the disease could not have been detected on medical examination before a person is selected for

Defence Service and furthermore. before arriving at a conclusion. the Release Medical Board should have assigned reasons in writing that the

disability was not due to Military Service. There is no dispute with regard to the fact that when the applicant entered into service, he was not suffering

from any disease and that the disability in question was detected/sustained only during the course of his military service.

5 The matter for implementation of orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of Dharamvir Singh (supra) in respect of Armed Forces

Personnel in NANA cases was taken up with the Department of Expenditure, Ministry of Finance for consideration. Accordingly. Ministry of

Defence by their letter dated 29th June. 2017 sent to the Chief of Staff of Army, Navy and Air Force for implementation of the orders of Hon'ble

Supreme Court. has laid down the following essential parameters for allowing disability pension:

I. The question whether a disability is attributable or aggravated by military service is to be determined under "Entitlement Rules for Casualty

Pensionary Awards 1982.

II. A member is to be presumed in sound physical and mental condition upon entering service if there is no note or record at the time of entrance. In

the event of his subsequently being discharged from service on medical grounds any deterioration in his health is to be presumed due to service.

III. If no note of any disability or disease was made at the time of individual's acceptance for military service, a disease which has laid to an

individuals discharge or death will be deemed to have arisen in the service.

IV. If medical opinion holds that the disease could not have been detected on medical examination prior to the acceptance for service and that disease

will not be deemed to have arisen during service, the medical board is required to state the reasons.

6. In light of the preceding paragraphs and essential parameters given aforesaid we hereby set aside the impugned order rejecting the claim of the

applicant for disability pension and hold that he is entitled to disability element of pension from the date of his retirement at the rate of 30% for life

which is to be broad banded to 50% for life in light of the judgment of the Hon.ble Supreme Court in Union of India and Ors. Vs. Ram Avtar decided

on 10th December. 2014.

7. The respondents are directed to release the arrears within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, failing which the

arrears shall carry interest at the rate of six per cent per annum.

8 The O.A. stands disposed of in the above terms with no order as to costs.