

(2023) 06 GUJ CK 0024

In the Gujarat High Court

Case No : R/Criminal Misc.Application No. 9230 Of 2023

Hemrajbhai Govindbhai Oad

APPELLANT

Vs

State Of Gujarat

RESPONDENT

Date of Decision : 07-06-2023

Acts Referred:

Code Of Criminal Procedure, 1973 — Section 438
Indian Penal Code, 1860 — Section 143, 147, 148, 326, 337, 338, 427
Gujarat Police Act, 1951 — Section 135(1)

Citation : (2023) 06 GUJ CK 0024

Hon'ble Judges : Nirzar S. Desai, J

Bench : Single Bench

Advocate : Hemant B Raval, Ronak Raval

Final Decision : Allowed

Judgement

Nirzar S. Desai, J

1. RULE. Learned APP waives service of notice of rule for and on behalf of the respondent – State.

2. By way of the present application under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, the applicant - accused has prayed for anticipatory bail in connection with the FIR being C. R. No. 11191046230157 of 2023 registered with Airport Police Station, District : Ahmedabad City for the offences punishable under Sections 326, 337, 338, 143, 147, 148 and 427 of the Indian Penal Code and section 135 (1) of the G. P. Act.

3. Learned advocate for the applicant submits that the nature of allegations are such for which custodial interrogation at this stage is not necessary. He further submits that the applicant will keep himself available during the course of investigation, trial also and will not flee from justice.

4. Learned advocate for the applicant on instructions states that the applicant is ready and willing to abide by all the conditions including imposition of conditions

with regard to powers of Investigating Agency to file an application before the competent Court for their remand. He further submit that upon filing of such application by the Investigating Agency, the right of applicant accused to oppose such application on merits may be kept open. Learned advocate, therefore, submitted that considering the above facts, the applicant may be granted anticipatory bail.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent – State has opposed grant of anticipatory bail looking to the nature and gravity of the offence.

6. Learned advocate Mr. Kishan Brahmbhatt appearing for the original complainant has opposed this application placed on record the affidavit filed by the original complainant. The same is taken on record.

7. Having heard the learned advocates for the parties and perusing the material placed on record and taking into consideration the facts of the case, nature of allegations, gravity of offences, role attributed to the accused, without discussing the evidence in detail, at this stage, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the applicant.

8. This Court has considered the following aspects:-

(i) That the allegations made in the FIR are too general and though it refers about the presence of the present applicant, there is no specific role attributed to the present applicant.

(ii) Even during the course of investigation, as per the investigation carried out till today, from the statement of eye witnesses also, no specific role of present applicant about what he was carrying or by using which weapons or how he has caused injury to the complainant or any other person is not coming out from the statement of witnesses.

(iii) Co-accused viz. Pravinbhai Govindbhai Oad has been granted anticipatory bail by the Trial Court vide order dated 26.05.2023 in Criminal Misc. Application No. 4233 of 2023 and Oad Nitesh Hemrajbhai and Oad Rahul Pravanibhai have been granted anticipatory bail by the Trial Court vide order dated 25.05.2023 in Criminal Misc. Application No. 4196 of 2023.

(iv) Learned advocate Mr. Maulik Nanavati appearing with learned advocate Mr. Hemant Raval has on his own volition stated that the present applicant shall not enter into limits of Ahmedabad District within a period of three months from today except for attending the Court proceedings and as and when he is called by the Investigating Officer for recording his statement or to take part in any Court proceedings or investigation.

(v) Learned advocate Mr. Nanavati for the applicant has upon instructions stated that the present applicant is ready and willing to cooperate in the investigation and he shall participate in the investigation.

9. In the facts and circumstances of the present case, since the custodial interrogation of the applicant is not required, I am inclined to consider the case of the applicant.

10. This Court has also taken into consideration the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre Vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors., reported at [2011] 1 SCC 694, wherein the Hon'ble Apex Court reiterated the law laid down by the Constitution Bench in the case of Shri Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab, reported at (1980) 2 SCC 565.

11. In the result, the present application is allowed. The applicant is ordered to be released on bail in the event of their arrest in connection with FIR being C. R. No. 11191046230157 of 2023 registered with Airport Police Station, District : Ahmedabad City City on executing a personal bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with one surety of like amount on the following conditions:-

(a) shall cooperate with the investigation and make himself available for interrogation whenever required;

(b) shall remain present at concerned Police Station on 14.06.2023 between 11.00 a.m. and 2.00 p.m.;

(c) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the fact of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer;

(d) shall not obstruct or hamper the police investigation and not to play mischief with the evidence collected or yet to be collected by the police;

(e) shall at the time of execution of bond, furnish the address to the investigating officer and the court concerned and shall not change residence till the final disposal of the case till further orders;

(f) shall not leave India without the permission of the concerned trial court and if having passport shall deposit the same before the concerned trial court within a week; and

(g) it would be open to the Investigating Officer to file an application for remand if he considers it proper and just and the learned Magistrate would decide it on merits;

(h) shall not enter into limits of Ahmedabad City for a period of three months.

12. Despite this order, it would be open for the Investigating Agency to apply to the competent Magistrate, for police remand of the applicant. The applicant shall remain present before the learned Magistrate on the first date of hearing of such application and on all subsequent occasions, as may be directed by the learned Magistrate. This would be sufficient to treat the accused in the judicial custody for the purpose of entertaining application of the prosecution for police remand.

This is, however, without prejudice to the right of the accused to seek stay against an order of remand, if, ultimately, granted, and the power of the learned Magistrate to consider such a request in accordance with law. It is clarified that the applicant, even if, remanded to the police custody, upon completion of such period of police remand, shall be set free immediately, subject to other conditions of this anticipatory bail order.

13. At the trial, the concerned trial court shall not be influenced by the prima facie observations made by this Court in the present order.

14. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Direct service is permitted.