

(2022) 08 GUJ CK 0018
In the Gujarat High Court

Case No : R/Criminal Misc.Application No. 11792 Of 2022

Javanji Chelaji Thakor

APPELLANT

Vs

State Of Gujarat

RESPONDENT

Date of Decision : 02-08-2022

Acts Referred:

Code Of Criminal Procedure, 1973 — Section 438
Indian Penal Code, 1860 — Section 147, 148, 149, 302, 325, 324, 452, 504
Gujarat Police Act, 1951 — Section 135

Citation : (2022) 08 GUJ CK 0018

Hon'ble Judges : Nikhil S. Kariel, J

Bench : Single Bench

Advocate : Imran Pathan, M T Saiyad, LB Dabhi

Final Decision : Allowed

Judgement

Nikhil S. Kariel, J

1. Rule returnable forthwith. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor Mr.L. B. Dabhi waives service of notice of Rule for and on behalf of the respondent – State.

2. Heard learned advocate Mr.Imran Pathan for Mr.M.T. Saiyad for the applicant and learned Additional Public Prosecutor Mr.L.B. Dabhi on behalf of the respondent-State.

3. By way of this application under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, the applicant prays for being released on anticipatory bail in connection with FIR No.11206038220273 of 2022 registered with Langhnaj Police Station, District: Mehsana for offences punishable under Sections 302, 325, 324, 147, 148, 149, 504 and 452 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 135 of the Gujarat Police Act.

4. Learned advocate Mr.Pathan for the applicant would submit that the nature of allegations are such for which custodial interrogation of the applicant at this stage is not necessary. Besides, the applicant is available during the course of

investigation and will not flee from justice. In view of the above, the applicant may be granted anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate Mr.Pathan for the applicant, on instructions, states that the applicant is ready and willing to abide by all the conditions including imposition of conditions with regard to powers of Investigating Agency to file an application before the competent Court for his remand. Learned Advocate would further submit that upon filing of such application by the Investigating Agency, the right of applicant-accused to oppose such application on merits may be kept open.

5. This application has been vehemently opposed by learned Additional Public Prosecutor Mr.Dabhi appearing on behalf of the respondent-State, who would submit that looking to the nature and gravity of the offence the applicant may not be released on anticipatory bail by this Court.

6. Having heard the learned advocates for the parties and having perused the investigating papers as well as documents on record, the following relevant aspects are considered by this Court:

[1] Considering the submissions made by learned advocate for the applicant that the present applicant, with specific role in the FIR in question, was completely bedridden, this Court had directed the physical condition of the applicant to be examined, and whereas, at a later stage, this Court had directed the examination of the applicant by the Civil Surgeon, Mehsana or any competent doctor working with Civil Hospital, Mehsana, and whereas today, learned APP has tendered an opinion dated 29.07.2022 by the Chief District Health Officer and Civil Surgeon, General Hospital, Mehsana, whereby the concerned doctor has inter alia opined that the present applicant is unable even to carry out his day-to-day activities. Though the allegations levelled in the FIR are very serious, yet the present applicant deserves protection.

7. In this view of the matter and considering the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre v. State of Maharashtra and Ors. reported in (2011)1 SCC 694, this Court is inclined to consider this application.

8. In the result, the present application is allowed by directing that in the event of applicant herein being arrested pursuant to the FIR No.11206038220273 of 2022 registered with Langhnaj Police Station, District: Mehsana, the applicant shall be released on bail on furnishing a personal bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with one surety of like amount, on the following conditions:

(a) shall cooperate with the investigation and make himself available for interrogation whenever required;

(b) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the fact of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(c) shall not obstruct or hamper the police investigation and not to play mischief with the evidence collected or yet to be collected by the Police;

(d) shall at the time of execution of bond, furnish the address to the Investigating Officer and the Court concerned and shall not change his residence till the final disposal of the case or till further orders;

(e) shall not leave India without the permission of the Court and, if having passports shall surrender the same before the Trial Court within a week.

9. Despite this order, it would be open for the Investigating Agency to file an application for police remand of the applicant to the competent Magistrate, if he thinks it just and proper and learned Magistrate would decide it on merits. The applicant shall remain present before the learned Magistrate on the first date of hearing of such application and on all subsequent occasions, as may be directed by the learned Magistrate. This would be sufficient to treat the accused in the judicial custody for the purpose of entertaining application of the prosecution for police remand. This is, however, without prejudice to the right of the accused to seek stay against an order of remand, if ultimately granted, and the power of the learned Magistrate to consider such a request in accordance with law. It is clarified that the applicant, even if, remanded to the police custody, upon completion of such period of police remand, shall be set free immediately, subject to other conditions of this anticipatory bail order.

10. At the trial, the Trial Court shall not be influenced by the prima facie observations made by this Court while enlarging the applicant on bail. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent.

Direct service is permitted.