
(2004) 01 SC CK 0094
In the Supreme Court Of India
Case No : Civil 3122-3123 of 1998

Metroark Ltd.

APPELLANT

Vs

Commissioner of Central Excise, Calcutta

RESPONDENT

Date of Decision : 15-01-2004

Acts Referred:

Citation : (2004) 92 ECC 489 : (2004) 164 ELT 225 : (2004) 12 SCC 505

Hon'ble Judges : S. N. Variava, J;H. K. Sema, J

Bench : Division Bench

Advocate : Jaideep Gupta and Shipra Ghose, for the Appellant; M.L. Verma, Vibha Datta Makhija and B. Krishna Prasad, for the Respondent

Final Decision : Allowed

Judgement

1. These appeals are against the judgment of the Customs, Excise & Gold (Control) Appellate Tribunal (for short 'the Tribunal') dated 27-8-1997. The question for consideration is whether the product manufactured by the appellant-assessee namely Dimethicone is classifiable under Tariff Item No. 3003.20 (as claimed by the appellant) or under Tariff Item No. 3910.00 (as claimed by the Respondent-Revenue). The Assistant 4Collector held that the product was classifiable under Tariff Item No. 3910.00. The Collector (Appeals) allowed the appeal and held that the product was classifiable under Tariff Item No. 3003.20. The Tribunal by the impugned judgment has reversed the order of the Collector (Appeals) and has held that the product is classifiable under Tariff Item No. 3910.00.

2. For consideration of the question, it is necessary to note the Tariff Items. They read as follows :-

"4.1.1 Heading No. Sub-heading Description of goods 39.10 3910.00 Silicones in primary forms 4.1.2 Note 6 to Chapter 39.

6. (a) In Heading Nos. 39.01 to 39.14, the expression "primary forms" applies only to the following forms :-

(i) Liquids and pastes, including dispersions (emulsions and suspensions) and solutions;

(ii) Blocks of irregular shape, lumps, powders (including moulding powders), granules, flakes and similar bulk forms. (b) Notwithstanding anything contained in Note 3 to this Chapter, Heading Nos. 39.01 to 39.14 shall also include primary forms obtained from conversion of another primary form, falling under the same heading, and such conversion shall amount to "manufacture".

4.1.3. Heading 38.23 and sub-heading 3823.00

38.233823.00 Prepared binders for foundry moulds or cores; 20% chemical products and preparations of the chemical or allied industries (including those consisting of mixtures of natural products), not elsewhere specified or included; residual products of the chemical or allied industries, not elsewhere specified or included. 4.1.4 Note 1 to Chapter 38

"This chapter does not cover :

(a)

(b)

(c) Medicaments (heading No. 30.03)"

4.1.5 Heading No. 30.03 & sub-heading No. 3003.20

30.03 Medicaments (including veterinary medicaments). 3003.20 Medicaments (other than patent or proprietary) Nil other than those which are exclusively used in Ayurvedic, Unani, Siddha, Homeopathic or Bio-chemic systems. 4.1.6 Note 2(i) to Chapter 30

2. For the purposes of headings No. 30.03 :

(i) 'Medicaments' means goods (other than foods or beverages such as dietetic, diabetic or fortified foods, tonic beverages) not falling within heading No. 30.02 or 30.04 which are either :-

(a) products comprising two or more constituents which have been mixed or compounded together for therapeutic or prophylactic uses; or

(b) unmixed products suitable for such uses put up in measured doses or in packings for retail sale or for use in hospitals."

3. At this stage, it must be mentioned that the question whether the product Dimethicone is a Silicone or not came up for consideration before this Court in AIR 1995 400 (SC) . This Court after considering the then Tariff Items came to the conclusion that it was a Silicone and inter alia held as follows :-

"The products of the appellants as specifically classified in the lists and described as such separately are not noticed and classified as such in the Pharmacopoeia as drugs by themselves or drugs intermediate. Rather the products of the

appellant are found by expert opinion to be Silicones in the primary form, of the grades specified. There is thus no basis herein to distinguish Silicone as industrially used or medicinally used."

4. The Tribunal in the impugned judgment has held that the judgment of this Court was not applicable as it was based on different tariff items. Undoubtedly, the judgment was in respect of different tariff items. But the fact remains that this Court has given a categoric finding that this product is a "Silicone in primary form". This finding would therefore continue to be binding. Tariff Items may change but it cannot now be urged that this product is not "Silicone in primary form". Of course even though this product is a "Silicone in primary form" by virtue of Note 1 to Chapter 38, it would not be covered by Entry 39.10 if it is "Medicament".

5. The question therefore is whether it can be considered to be a Medicament. The Tribunal, without the aid of the Hico Products case (*supra*), came to the conclusion that the product is a Silicone in primary form. In doing so, it has recorded that "it is not denied that Dimethicone BPC is otherwise a Silicone in primary form". It was submitted that this observation was not correct. It was submitted that it was always disputed that the product was a "Silicone in primary form". However, we find that there is no ground in the Memo of Appeal that this finding of the Tribunal has been wrongly recorded. Even otherwise, it is a settled law that if a Court or Tribunal records something then that has to be taken as correct unless the party gets the same clarified from that Court or Tribunal itself. As the Appellants have not made any effort to get this position clarified from the Tribunal nor taken any ground in the Memo of Appeal it is not possible to accept this submission.

6. The submission on behalf of the Appellant is that their product is a Medicament inasmuch as it falls under Note 2(1)(a) of Chapter 30. It is claimed that it is a product comprising of two or more constituents which have been mixed or compounded together. The Tribunal has not accepted this. Before, we consider reasoning of the Tribunal it must be noted that the Assistant Collector had given a categoric finding that Dimethicone BPC was an unmixed product and that it was a Silicone in primary form. The Collector (Appeals) has not dealt with the finding that it is an unmixed product. The Collector (Appeals) has proceeded on the footing that as the product has therapeutic or prophylactic use it would be a Medicament. Of course, the Collector (Appeals) notes that the product has two or more constituents but has not noticed that the Appellants themselves have shown this product to be an unmixed product in the classification list filed by them.

7. The Tribunal which is a final fact finding authority has considered both these opinions. It has observed that the Appellants themselves filed the classification list showing this product to be an unmixed product. If the product is an unmixed product merely because a process of hydrolysis of two or more chemicals is involved the product would not fall within Chapter Note 2(1)(a) of Chapter 30.

With this finding of the Tribunal we are in full agreement.

8. Even otherwise, the law on the subject is clear. The Tribunal is final fact finding authority. Unless it is shown that there is something perverse in its finding, this Court would not interfere. No authority is required for this purpose. But as a large number of authorities are cited, we refer to them : Pragati Computers Pvt. Ltd. Vs. Collector of Customs, Madras, , M/s. Reliance Silicon (I) Pvt. Ltd., etc. Vs. Collector, Central Excise, Thane, , Asian Paints India Ltd. Vs. Collector of Central Excise, and Collector of Customs, Bombay Vs. Swastic Woollens (P) Ltd. and Others, .

9. For the above reason, we see no reason to interfere with the impugned judgment. The appeals stand dismissed. There will be no order as to costs.