
(1968) 10 SC CK 0013
In the Supreme Court Of India
Case No : Writ Petition (Civil) 14 of 1968

Mohan Meakin Breweries Ltd.

APPELLANT

Vs

The Commissioner of Excise, Bihar & Ors

RESPONDENT

Date of Decision : 17-10-1968

Acts Referred:

Bihar and Orissa Excise Act, 1915 — Section 28, 90
Bihar and Orissa Excise Rules, 1919 — Rule 147

Citation : AIR 1970 SC 1171 : (1971) 19 BLJR 444 : (1968) 1 PLJR 115 :
(1969) 2 SCR 457

Hon'ble Judges : M. Hidayatullah, C.J;S. M. Sikri, J;R. S. Bachawat, J;K. S. Hegde, J;G. K. Mitter, J

Bench : Full Bench

Final Decision : Allowed

Judgement

Bachawat, J.—The petitioner company, Mohan Meakin Breweries Ltd., manufactures and sells Indian made foreign liquor. Its distilleries are situated at Solan in Himachal Pradesh and at Lucknow and Mohan Nagar in Uttar Pradesh. It has depots for sale of its products at Patna and Ranchi in the State of Bihar. Before October 13, 1967 it imported foreign liquor into the State of Bihar from Solan, Lucknow and Mohan Nagar for purposes of sale at its Patna and Ranchi depots on payment of duty at the then current rate of Rs. 14.40 L. P. litres. Duty on the liquor from Solan was paid upon or before importation by making deposits in the State Bank of India at Patna and Ranchi. Duty on the liquor from Lucknow and Mohan Nagar was paid upon importation by making deposits with the Uttar Pradesh Government.

2. By a notification dated October 13, 1967 duty on foreign liquor was enhanced from Rs. 14.40 to Rs. 26.20 per L. P. litres with effect from November 1, 1967. By an order dated January 3, 1968 the Superintendent of Excise, Patna, directed the Company to pay by January 31, 1968 the difference in duty on the opening balance of India made foreign liquor in its stock on November 1, 1967. In this writ petition under Article 32 of the Constitution the Company challenges the

legality of the levy.

3. Duty on foreign liquor imported into the State of Bihar is chargeable u/s 27(1) (a) of the Bihar and Orissa Excise Act, 1915 (Bihar and Orissa Act II of 1915). Subject to any rules made u/s 90, Clause (12), the duty may be levied u/s 28 (a) in two ways. The first method as indicated in Section 28(a)(i) is by payment upon or before importation either in the State of Bihar or in the State or territory from which the article is brought. This method is followed when the liquor is not imported under bond. The second method as indicated in Section 28(a)(ii) is by payment upon issue for sale from a warehouse established, authorised or continued under the Act. In view of the first proviso to Section 28 the payment is made at the rate of duty in force on the date of issue of the article from the warehouse. This method is followed when the liquor is imported under a bond. The form of the bond at page 215 of the Bihar and Orissa Excise Manual, Vol. II, Part I, issued in 1957 shows that foreign liquor imported under bond is kept without payment of duty in a warehouse established with the approval of the Excise Commissioner u/s 15. In view of Section 17 no article can be removed from the warehouse unless duty has been paid or a bond has been executed for the payment thereof.

4. In the present case, the foreign liquor was imported before November 1, 1967 on payment of duty at the current rate in the manner indicated in Section 28 (a) (i). Duty on imported foreign liquor was enhanced with effect from November 1, 1967. Sections 27 and 28 do not authorise the levy of the enhanced duty on the liquor imported before November 1, 1967 but lying with the importer on that date.

5. Section 28, however, is subject to any rules that may be made by the Board of Revenue, Bihar, u/s 90, Clause (12). The State of Bihar seeks to justify the levy of the enhanced duty on the stock of imported foreign liquor lying with the petitioner on November 1, 1967 under the proviso to Rule 147 framed by the Board of Revenue. That Rule is as follows:

"147. The duty Imposed on--

(i) foreign liquor and country spirit--

(a) imported under bond, or

(b) manufactured in a distillery, and stored in a distillery or excise warehouse ;

(ii) Ganja and Bhang--

(a) imported under bond, or

(b) stored in an excise warehouse, shall be paid before removal from the distillery or excise warehouse unless a bond has been executed for such payment.

Provided that in case of any revision in the rate of duty on an excisable article, the difference of duty shall be realised from or credited to the licensee, to whom

such article has been issued on payment of duty prior to such revision, according as the revised rate of duty is higher or lower than the old rate and the calculation of the difference of duty shall be made on the quantity of such article that may remain in possession of such licensee when the revised rate of duty comes into force."

The main part of Rule 147 applies to foreign liquor imported under bond which, as already stated, is kept in an excise warehouse established under the Act. It provides that duty imposed on foreign liquor imported under bond shall be paid before removal from the excise warehouse unless a bond has been executed for such payment. Under the proviso to Rule 147 in case of any revision of the rate of duty on an excisable article, the licensee to whom the article has been issued on payment of duty prior to such revision is liable to pay the difference of duty on the quantity of such article that may remain in his possession, when the revised rate of duty comes into force. The proviso must be construed with reference to the main part of the Rule. A close scrutiny of the Rule reveals that the main part and the proviso deal with the same subject-matter. The expression "an excisable article" in the proviso means foreign liquor imported under bond "and other articles on which duty is payable before removal from the excise warehouse or distillery where they are kept. It is for this reason that under the proviso the difference of duty is realised from or credited to the licensee to whom the article has been issued from the excise warehouse or distillery on payment of duty prior to such revision. The proviso does not apply to all imported foreign liquor. It applies only to foreign liquor imported under bond, that is to say, foreign liquor on which duty has been levied u/s 28 (a) (ii) by payment upon issue for sale from an excise warehouse. It does not apply to foreign liquor not imported under bond upon which duty has been levied u/s 28 (a) (i). The petitioners foreign liquor was not imported under bond. The petitioner is not, therefore, liable to pay under the proviso to Rule 147 the difference of duty in respect of its stock of foreign liquor on November 1, 1947. The demand for payment of the difference of duty in respect of this stock is not authorised by the Act or the proviso to Rule 147.

6. The petitioner also challenged the constitutionality of Section 27 and the vires of the proviso to Rule 147. In view of our conclusions aforesaid, it is not necessary to decide these questions.

7. In the result, there will be an order in terms of prayers (a) (iii) and (b) of the petition. The order of the Superintendent of Excise, Bihar, dated January 3, 1968, copy whereof is Annexure B, to the petition is quashed and set aside; the respondents are prohibited from enforcing the aforesaid order. The respondents shall pay to the petitioner the costs of the petition.