

(1989) 12 SC CK 0041

In the Supreme Court Of India

Case No : Civil Appeal No's. 993 and 994 of 1976

Municipal Board, Bareilly

APPELLANT

Vs

Bharat Oil Company and Others

RESPONDENT

Date of Decision : 04-12-1989

Acts Referred:

Uttar Pradesh Municipal Account Code, 1925 — Rule 131

Uttar Pradesh Municipalities Act, 1916 — Section 128, 128(1), 131, 132, 133

Citation : AIR 1990 SC 548 : (1990) 1 AWC 51 Supp : (1989) 4 JT 453 : (1989) 2 SCALE 1269 : (1990) 1 SCC 311 : (1989) 2 SCR 376 Supp : (1990) 1 UPLBEC 209

Hon'ble Judges : M. Fathima Beevi Beevi, J;K. N. Saikia, J

Bench : Division Bench

Advocate : R.K. Virmani, for the Appellant; M.V. Goswami and S.S. Khanduja, for the Respondent

Final Decision : allowed

Judgement

M. Fathima Beevi, J.—These two appeals by special leave are filed by the Municipal Board, Bareilly, against the judgment of the Allahabad High Court quashing the Gazette Notification dated August 27, 1969 amending the octroi schedule of the Bareilly Municipality so as to impose octroi on "Mineral oil".

2. The respondents Bharat Oil Company and others filed writ petitions under Article 226 of the Constitution of India challenging the notification on the ground inter alia that the appellant, the Municipal Board Bareilly (hereinafter referred to as 'the Board') had no authority to impose octroi on mineral oil in view of the proviso to Rule 131 of the Octroi Rules contained in the U.P. Municipal Account Code, 1925. This was countered by the appellant stating that the R, 131 was superseded by the 1963 Rules which govern the imposition of octroi by the appellant Board. The single Judge in allowing the Writ Petitions took the view that Rule 131 restricted the power of the Board to impose the octroi and the subject matter of the rule is not covered by the 1963 Rules. The appeals

preferred were dismissed by the Division Bench of the High Court agreeing that the bar under Rule 131 regarding the imposition of octroi duty on mineral oils continued notwithstanding the 1963 Rules.

3. The appellant is a Municipal Board governed by the provisions of the U.P. Municipalities Act, 1916 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act'). Section 128 of the Act provides for imposition of taxes by a Municipal Board. The relevant part of the said section reads "as under:

128. Taxes which may be imposed (1) Subject to any general rules or special orders of the. State Government in this behalf, the taxes which a board may impose in the whole or any part of a municipality are-

(i) x x x (viii) An octroi on goods or animals brought within the municipality for consumption, use or sale therein.

4. Sections 131 to 135 of the Act contain provisions relating to the framing of proposals for the imposition of taxes by the Municipal Board, inviting objections to the said proposal, the approval of the said proposal by the State Government, the framing of rules by the State Government on the basis of such proposals, u/s 296 of the Act and for the issue of a notification about the imposition of tax from the appointed date,

5. Section 153 of the Act provides that assessment and collection of taxes and other matters relating to taxes may be regulated by Rules. Section 296 empowers the State Government to make rules in respect of matters described in Section 153.

6. In exercise of the powers under Sections 131 to 135 and 2% of the Act, the Government of the United Provinces framed octroi rules which were published vide notification dated the 25th October 1925. The said rules are included in the Municipal Account Code (Chapter, X Rules 131 to 231) published by the Government of U.P.

7. Rule 131 provided that subject to the exception contained in the proviso octroi may be ordinarily levied on commodities included in the list setout in the said rule. The proviso to this rule stated that octroi shall not be levied on certain articles which included mineral oil, Rule 131 was amended vide notification dated the 2nd November, 1953 and for the words "the mineral oil" in the proviso the words "mineral oils classified as motor spirit, kerosene or diesel oil" were substituted.

8. Separate rules for the assessment and collection of octroi in the Bareilly Municipality were framed by the Government of U.P. in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 296 of the Act. The draft rules were notified vide notification dated the 16th February 1963 and published in the U.P. Gazette dated 23rd February 1963. The said notification reads as under: -

No. 89-B/XI-C-129-60- The following draft of the rules for the assessment and

collection of octroi in the Bareilly Municipality, in super session of the existing Octroi Rules contained in the Municipal Account Code in so far as they apply to the said municipality, which the Governor of Uttar Pradesh proposes to make, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 296 of the U.P. Municipalities Act, 1916 (U.P. Act No. II of 1916), is published as required by Sub-section (1) of Section 300 of the said Act, for the information of all concerned with a view to invite objections and suggestions' in respect thereof.

9. Final Rules were notified vide notification dated the 7th May 1963 and published by the Government in the U.P. Gazette dated the 11th May, 1963 as required under of the Act.

10. By notification dated the 24th July, 1963 published in the U.P. Gazette dated the August 3, 1963 the appellant Board imposed octroi duty on goods and animals brought within the octroi limits of Bareilly Municipality for consumption, use and sale at the rates shown in the schedule to the said notification and subject to the exceptions contained therein. Item 29 of the exceptions contained in the schedule related to "mineral oils" classified as motor spirit, kerosene and diesel oil. The said notification came into operation from November 16, 1963. Thereafter the levy of octroi in the Bareilly Municipality was governed by 1963 Rules. The amendments were made in the octroi schedule both in the rates as well as in the exemption and as a result thereof motor spirit, kerosene and diesel oil were removed from the exemption clause and were subjected to the octroi duty @ 1 paisa per litre vide notification dated August 27, 1969.

11. The validity of the notification dated the 27th August, 1969 was challenged before the High Court in the Writ Petitions Nos. 1805 and 4696 of 1970 by respondents on the ground that 1925 Rules take away the power from all Municipal Board to impose octroi duty on mineral oils and until such power is restored under a contrary notification issued u/s 128 of the Act, the Board did not have any justification to assess or collect octroi duty on mineral oils.

12. The impugned judgment proceeded on the basis that Rules 131 to 133 of the 1925 Rules have been made by the State Government in exercise of the powers conferred upon it by the opening words of Section 128(1) and they are not rules u/s 153 for the assessment and collection of octroi. It was also held that the subject matter of these rules is not covered by the 1963 Rules and, therefore, the 1963 Rules cannot supersede Rule 131 of the 1925 Rules. A Full Bench of the Allahabad High Court in Central Distillery Chemicals Works Ltd. v. State of U.P., 1980 All LJ 62 following the decision of this Court in The Municipality of Anand Vs. State of Bombay, , overruled the impugned decision holding that the special rules which are in relation to a particular tax and a particular Municipal Board will override or supersede the general rules framed by State Government u/s 153 read with Section 296. The appellant's learned Counsel relied on the Full Bench decision and maintained that the rules framed by the Board prevail over the rules contained in the Municipal Account Code and the notification is, therefore, valid. In our view the approach made by the Full Bench of the High Court in Central

Distillery Chemicals Works Ltd. v. State of U.P. (supra) is correct and has to be approved.

13. As pointed out by this Court in *Municipal Board, Hapur Vs. Raghuvendra Kripal and Others*, taxes raised by a local authority are not imposed by it as a legislature but as a delegate of the legislature: The tax is valid one if it is one of the taxes the local authority can raise and the delegatee imposes it in accordance with the conditions laid down by the legislature. The taxes that can be raised in exercise of delegated power are predetermined and procedure is prescribed by the Municipal Act, Thus Section 128 of the U.P. Municipalities Act confers on the municipalities in the State the power to levy taxes enumerated there under. The power conferred is not absolute but is subject to any general rules or special orders of the State Government in this behalf. Section 128(1) does not confer any independent rule making power. The general rules referred to in that section can only be the rules in the matter of such levy specified in Section 153 of the Act and framed in exercise of the power u/s 296 of the Act. The State Government is empowered u/s 296 to make rules consistent with the Act in respect of matters described in Section 153. Rules framed u/s 153 constitute the exclusive machinery for assessment, and collection of taxes. The relevant part of Section 153 reads as under:

153. Rules as to assessment, collection and other matters. The following matters shall be regulated and governed by rules except in so far as provision therefore is made by this Act, namely:

(a) The assessment, collection or composition of taxes, and, in the case of octroi or toll, the determination of octroi or toll limit;

(f) Any other matter relating to taxes in respect of which this Act makes no provision or insufficient provision and provision, is, in the opinion of the State Government, necessary.

In prescribing the procedure for the imposition of taxes by the board, Section 131 of the Act requires the board while framing the proposal to prepare a draft of the rules which it desires the State Government to make in respect of the matters referred to in Section 153 and publish the same. When the proposals have been sanctioned the State Government makes the necessary rules in respect of the tax u/s 296. The rules referred to in Section 128(1) are rules thus framed by the State Government u/s 296 in respect of matters referred to in Section 153. 5.300(2) expressly provide that any rule or regulation made by the State Government may be general for all municipalities or may be special for anyone municipality as it directs.

14. The Municipal Manual Published by the Government contains the general rules made by the Government under the Act and general orders issued, in Volume 1. The second volume contains the Municipal Account Code, The General rules and orders are contained in Chapters I to XII of Part I. The Explanation in Chapter I reads as under:

The Rules in this Manual, which are printed in pica type, together with their explanations, illustrations and exceptions, have the force of law, having been made by the Government in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 296 of the Act, and, except where otherwise stated, are applicable to all municipalities. The notifications in which they were published are referred to on the margins of the pages.

Part II contains the model rules, by laws and regulations. Section A deals with Rules with reference to Section 153 of the Act thus:

The following model rules have been framed by the Government for the assessment and collection of taxes other than octroi under Sections 153 and 296 of the Act.

It is anticipated that they will be found generally applicable to the circumstances of the municipalities of these provinces, and it is desirable that the model forms should be adhered to unless there are special reasons justifying any divergence from them.

In forwarding proposals for the imposition of additional taxation, boards are reminded that the necessary rules for the assessment and collection of the taxes to be imposed should be forwarded at the same time as the tax proposals, and it will facilitate the disposal of such cases if any deviations from the model forms printed below are specifically referred to in the proposals submitted.

Vol. II contains the Municipal Account Code. Chapter X deals with octroi and provides in Rule 131 that subject to the exceptions contained in the proviso octroi shall ordinarily be levied on commodities included in the list. In *Mool Chand and Ram Prasad Vs. Municipal Board*, it was held that the rules contained in the Code have as much force of law as the Act itself. The Octroi Rules contained in Chapter X of the Municipal Account Code are general rules framed by the State Government in respect of matters referred to in Section 153 in exercise of power u/s 296 and refer to the levy and govern the assessment, collection etc. The rules are general for all municipalities. The 1963 Rules are framed for the appellant board expressly superseding the general rules in so far as they apply to the appellant board. By framing the 1963 rules the Government evinced the intention to cover the field which was covered by 1925 rules in so far as the Bareilly Municipality was concerned. The subject matter dealt with in 1963 Rules is the same as that dealt with in 1925 Rules. The intention to supersede the earlier rules is clearly expressed. The rule has the force of law. Rule 131 of 1925 Rules has no longer any application in the matter of levying octroi by the appellant board. That rule stands repealed in so far as the appellant Board is concerned. The rule cannot, therefore, be read as curtailing the power u/s 128(1) (viii) of the Act to impose octroi. Rules do not enlarge or restrict the authority to impose tax. Authority is conferred by the section. Rules are only regulating the exercise of that power. The imposition of the tax and the regulation of its assessment and collections are totally different matters and they are clearly

distinguished. In *Zaverbhai Amaldas Vs. The State of Bombay*, this Court reiterated the rule of construction that if a later statute deals with the same subject-matter and varies the procedure the earlier statute is repealed by the later statute. In *The Municipality of Anand Vs. State of Bombay*, construing Section 59 of the Bombay District Municipal Act, 1901 which is in pan material with Section 128 of the U.P. Municipalities Act, this Court said the word 'impose' in Section 59 meant the actual levy of the tax after authority to levy it had been acquired by rules duly made and sanctioned and this imposition was subject to the general or special orders of the Government. The opening words of Section 128 are capable of similar construction and the imposition has to be understood as the actual levy subject to the general rules and special orders contemplated under the other provisions of the Act.

15. The rule making power u/s 296 read with Section 300(2) of the Act enables the State Government to except anyone municipality from the operation of the general rule by express provision in that behalf. When the identical authority in exercise of its rule making power duly frames the rules in respect of the same matter expressly providing that the new rules shall apply to a particular municipality in supersession of the existing rules, it must be deemed that existing rules are repealed to that extent. The 1963 rules had been framed u/s 296 of the Act in supersession of the existing rules after publication by the State Government, in the. Gazette as provided u/s 300 and therefore Rule 131 in the 1925 Rules ceased to have any operation in respect of the matters dealt with therein so far as the Bareilly municipality is concerned.

16. In this view of the matter, we hold that the appellant Board had authority to levy octroi on mineral oils and challenge against the impugned notification is not sustainable. The High Court was clearly in error in quashing the same and restraining the Board from assessing and collecting the tax.

We accordingly allow the appeals and set aside the judgment of the High Court. In the circumstances of these cases we, however, make no order as to costs.