
(1995) 12 SC CK 0022

In the Supreme Court Of India

Case No : Civil Appeal No. 11760 of 1995

P.R. Sinha and Others

APPELLANT

Vs

Inder Krishan Raina and Others

RESPONDENT

Date of Decision : 07-12-1995

Acts Referred:

Constitution of India, 1950 — Article 26

Citation : (1996) 1 AD 301 : (1995) 7 SCALE 201 : (1996) 1 SCC 681 : (1995) 6 SCR 297 Supp

Hon'ble Judges : N. P. Singh, J; Faizan Uddin, J

Bench : Division Bench

Advocate : Harish N. Salve, R.N. Sachthey, H. Munshi, C.D. Singh and Anip Sachthey, for the Appellant; R. Sasiprabhu, for the Respondent

Final Decision : Allowed

Judgement

1. Leave granted.

2. This appeal has been filed on behalf of Managing Director, Chief General Manager and General Manager, Bharat Coking Coal Ltd., a Government of India Undertaking, against an order dated 4th December, 1990 passed by a learned Judge of Jammu & Kashmir High Court directing the appellants to implement the order dated 27th August, 1990 passed by the said High Court.

3. It appears that a writ petition was filed on behalf of the respondents making a grievance regarding non supply of coal inspite of the deposit having been made so far as the price of the coal was concerned. The stand of the appellants before the High Court was that the Income Tax Department had attached the money which had been deposited by the respondents for the supply of the coal.

4. It is an admitted position that an exparte ad interim order was passed on 27th August, 1990 the High Court while admitting the writ petition saying that in the meantime respondents of the said writ petition shall release the coal to the writ petitioners for which they have received the payment.

5. We are informed that a counter affidavit was filed. A petition was also filed for modification of the aforesaid interim order bringing to the notice of the High Court the circumstances under which the coal could not be supplied to the writ petitioners. Thereafter the impugned order was passed saying that the aforesaid order dated 27th August, 1990 must be implemented within two weeks and a direction was given to list the contempt petition alongwith writ petition on 23rd December, 1990. In the meantime this Court on 20th December, 1990 issued notice on the SLP and passed an order staying further proceedings before the High Court. From the records it appears that respondents have appeared but none appeared when the appeal was taken up for hearing.

6. This Court has pointed out repeatedly that while entertaining the writ petition the High Court should not pass interim order, the nature of which is to grant a relief which can be granted only at the final disposal of such writ petition. Reference in this connection may be made to the case of State of Jammu & Kashmir Vs. Mohd. Yaqoob Khan and Others,

7. We set aside the order dated 4th December, 1990 and request the High Court to first consider the application for modification filed on behalf of the appellants and thereafter pass any other appropriate order in accordance with law.

8. The appeal is allowed accordingly.

9. We request the High Court to also consider whether in the facts and circumstances of the case the proceedings for contempt should be pursued.