

(2022) 05 GUJ CK 0041

In the Gujarat High Court

Case No : R/Criminal Misc. Application No. 8781 Of 2022

Prahladbhai Rambhai Ram

APPELLANT

Vs

State Of Gujarat

RESPONDENT

Date of Decision : 12-05-2022

Acts Referred:

Code Of Criminal Procedure, 1973 — Section 439
Indian Penal Code, 1860 — Section 323, 376(2)(N), 506(2)

Citation : (2022) 05 GUJ CK 0041

Hon'ble Judges : Niral R. Mehta, J

Bench : Single Bench

Advocate : Malaykumar S Patel, Pranav Trivedi

Final Decision : Allowed

Judgement

Niral R. Mehta, J

1) This application is by the applicant under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for regular bail in connection with FIR registered as C.R. No. 11193050220257 of 2022 with Rajula Police Station, Amreli for the offence punishable under Sections 376(2)(N), 323, 506(2) of the Indian Penal Code.

2) Learned advocate appearing on behalf of the applicant submits that considering the nature of offence, the applicant may be enlarged on regular bail by imposing suitable conditions.

3) On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State has opposed grant of regular bail looking to the nature and gravity of the offence.

4) Learned Advocates appearing on behalf of the respective parties do not press for a further reasoned order.

5) I have heard the learned advocates appearing on behalf of the respective parties and perused the papers. Following aspects are considered :-

I) The F.I.R. is registered on 26.03.2022 for the offence which is alleged to have taken place on 17.09.2018 to 19.01.2022;

II) The applicant is in custody since 29.03.2022;

III) Investigation is almost over. However, charge-sheet is yet not filed;

IV) Considering the version of the FIR, it appears that the alleged offence has taken place somewhere in 2018 and FIR came to be filed in 2022, i.e. almost a period of 4 years. It also appears that considering the age of the victim as also the applicant, prima facie element of love-affair cannot be ruled out;

V) Over and above that, Mr. Nikhil Parihar, learned advocate, has appeared on behalf of the original complainant and has tendered an affidavit dated 02.05.2022, duly affirmed by the complainant himself inter alia stating that she has no objection if the present applicant is released on bail;

VI) Even otherwise, no recovery or discovery is required made from the applicant till date, and therefore, no further custodial interrogation is required.

VII) No fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the present applicant in jail during the pendency of trial;

VIII) Learned Additional Public Prosecutor under the instructions of the Investigating Officer is unable to bring on record any special circumstances against the applicant.

6) This Court has taken into consideration the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of Sanjay Chandra v. Central Bureau of Investigation reported in [2012] 1 SCC 40.

7) In the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the nature of the allegations made against the applicant in the First Information Report, without discussing the evidence in detail, prima facie, this Court is of the opinion that this is a fit case to exercise the discretion and enlarge the applicant on regular bail.

8) Hence, the present application is allowed. The applicant is ordered to be released on regular bail in connection with C.R. No. 11193050220257 of 2022 with Rajula Police Station, Amreli on executing a personal bond of Rs.10,000/= (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court and subject to the conditions that he shall;

(a) not take undue advantage of liberty or misuse liberty;

(b) not act in a manner injurious to the interest of the prosecution & shall not obstruct or hamper the police investigation and shall not to play mischief with the evidence collected or yet to be collected by the police;

(c) surrender passport, if any, to the Trial Court within a week;

(d) not leave the State of Gujarat without prior permission of the Trial Court

concerned;

(e) mark presence before the concerned Police Station once in a month for a period of six months between 11.00 a.m. and 2.00 p.m.;

(f) furnish the present address of his residence to the Investigating Officer and also to the Court at the time of execution of the bond and shall not change the residence without prior permission of Trial Court;

9) The authorities will release the applicant only if he is not required in connection with any other offence for the time being. If breach of any of the above conditions is committed, the Sessions Judge concerned will be free to issue warrant or take appropriate action in the matter.

10) Bail bond to be executed before the lower Court having jurisdiction to try the case. It will be open for the concerned Court to delete, modify and/or relax any of the above conditions, in accordance with law.

11) At the trial, the trial Court shall not be influenced by the observations of preliminary nature qua the evidence at this stage made by this Court while enlarging the applicant on bail.

12) The application is allowed in the aforesaid terms. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent.

Direct Service is permitted.