

(2020) 01 GUJ CK 0205

In the Gujarat High Court

Case No : R/Criminal Misc.Application No. 619 Of 2020

Rameshchandra Fatehchand Mohnani

APPELLANT

Vs

State Of Gujarat

RESPONDENT

Date of Decision : 16-01-2020

Acts Referred:

Code Of Criminal Procedure, 1973 — Section 439
Indian Penal Code, 1860 — Section 120(B), 406, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471
Information Technology Act, 2000 — Section 66(c)(d)
Aadhaar (Targeted Delivery Of Financial And Other Subsidies, Benefits And Services) Act, 2016 — Section 34, 35, 37

Citation : (2020) 01 GUJ CK 0205

Hon'ble Judges : Vipul M. Pancholi, J

Bench : Single Bench

Advocate : Sunil S Joshi, HK Patel

Final Decision : Allowed

Judgement

Vipul M. Pancholi, J

1. Rule. Learned APP Mr.Patel waives service of Rule on behalf of the respondent State.

2. The present application is filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, for regular bail in connection with FIR being C.R.No.I-

58 of 2019 registered with Cyber Crime Police Station, Ahmedabad city for offence under Sections 406, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120B of the Indian

Penal Code and Sections 66(c)(d) of the IT Act and Sections 34, 35 and 37 of Aadhar (Targeted Delivery of Financial and Other Subsidized Benefits

and Service) Act, 2016.

3. Learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the applicant submits that considering the nature of the offence, the applicant may be enlarged on regular

bail by imposing suitable conditions.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent-State has opposed grant of regular bail looking to the nature and gravity of the offence.

5. Learned Advocates appearing on behalf of the respective parties do not press for further reasoned order.

6. Having heard the learned advocates for the parties and perusing the material placed on record and taking into consideration the facts of the case, nature of allegations, gravity of offences, role attributed to the accused, without discussing the evidence in detail, this Court is of the opinion that this is a fit case to exercise the discretion and enlarge the applicant on regular bail.

7. This Court has considered following aspects;

(a) the applicant is in jail since 16.12.2019; (b) the applicant is aged about 64 years; (c) remand period is over and investigation is almost concluded

qua the applicant; (d) the case of the prosecution rests on documentary evidence and the said documents are already collected by the investigating

agency during the course of remand; (e) it is submitted that co-accused against whom similar type of allegations are levelled has been enlarged on

regular bail by this Court vide order dated 10.1.2020, copy of the said order is placed on record during the course of hearing; looking to the over all

facts and circumstances of the present case, I am inclined to consider the case of the applicant.

8. This Court has also taken into consideration the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of Sanjay Chandra Vs. Central Bureau of

Investigation, reported in [2012] 1 SCC 40.

9. Hence, the present application is allowed. The applicant is ordered to be released on regular bail in connection with FIR being C.R.No.I-58 of 2019

registered with Cyber Crime Police Station, Ahmedabad city on executing a personal bond of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with one surety

of the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court and subject to the conditions that he shall;

[a] not take undue advantage of liberty or misuse liberty;

[b] not act in a manner injurious to the interest of the prosecution;

[c] surrender passport, if any, to the lower court within a week;

[d] not leave India without prior permission of the concerned trial court;

[e] mark presence before the concerned Police Station between 1st to 10th day of every English calendar month for a period of six months between

11:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m.;

[f] furnish the present address of residence to the Investigating Officer and also to the Court at the time of execution of the bond and shall not change

the residence without prior permission of the concerned trial court;

10. The authorities will release the applicant only if he is not required in connection with any other offence for the time being. If breach of any of the

above conditions is committed, the Sessions Judge concerned will be free to issue warrant or take appropriate action in the matter. Bail bond to be

executed before the lower Court having jurisdiction to try the case. It will be open for the concerned Court to delete, modify and/or relax any of the

above conditions, in accordance with law.

11. At the trial, the Trial Court shall not be influenced by the prima facie observations made by this Court in the present order.

12. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Direct service is permitted.