

**(2019) 12 GUJ CK 0017**  
**In the Gujarat High Court**

**Case No :** R/Criminal Misc. Application No. 22276 Of 2019

Rathod Ashvinbhai Dhanjibhai

APPELLANT

Vs

State Of Gujarat

RESPONDENT

**Date of Decision :** 04-12-2019

**Acts Referred:**

Code Of Criminal Procedure, 1973 — Section 439

Indian Penal Code, 1860 — Section 363, 366, 376

Protection Of Children From Sexual Offences (POCSO) Act, 2012 — Section 4, 6

**Citation :** (2019) 12 GUJ CK 0017

**Hon'ble Judges :** Alpesh Y. Kogje, J

**Bench :** Single Bench

**Advocate :** Jigneshkumar M. Nayak, Mitesh Amin

**Final Decision :** Allowed

## **Judgement**

Alpesh Y. Kogje, J

1. RULE. Learned Public Prosecutor waives service of rule on behalf of the respondent-State.
2. This application is filed by the applicant under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for regular bail in connection with FIR registered as C.R. No. I-36 of 2019 with Shatej Police Station, Gandhinagar, for the offence punishable under Sections 363, 366, 376 of the Indian Penal Code and under Section 4, 6 of POCSO Act.
3. Learned advocate appearing on behalf of the applicant submits that considering the nature of offence, the applicant may be enlarged on regular bail by imposing suitable conditions.
4. On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State has opposed grant of regular bail looking to the nature and gravity of the offence.

5. Learned Advocates appearing on behalf of the respective parties do not press for a further reasoned order.

6. I have heard the learned advocates appearing on behalf of the respective parties and perused the papers. Following aspects are considered:-

I) The F.I.R. is registered on 06-04-2019 for the offence which is alleged to have taken place on 02-04-2019;

II) The applicant is in custody since 10-10-2019;

III) Investigation qua the applicant is concluded as the remand period is over;

IV) Learned Advocate for the applicant submitted that the applicant is aged 39 years, unmarried was having an affair with prosecutrix.

V) Considering the statement of the prosecutrix as reflected in order dated 15-11-2019 in Habeas Corpus proceedings passed in Special Criminal Application No. 4290 of 2019;

VI) Learned Additional Public Prosecutor under the instructions of the Investigating Officer is unable to bring on record any special circumstances against the applicant.

This Court has taken into consideration the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of Sanjay Chandra v. Central Bureau of Investigation reported in MANU/SC/1375/2011 : [2012] 1 SCC 40.

7 . In the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the nature of the allegations made against the applicant in the First Information Report, without discussing the evidence in detail, prima facie, this Court is of the opinion that this is a fit case to exercise the discretion and enlarge the applicant on regular bail.

8. Hence, the present application is allowed. The applicant is ordered to be released on regular bail in connection with C.R. No. I-36 of 2019 with Shatej Police Station, Gandhinagar, on executing a personal bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court and subject to the conditions that he shall;

(a) not take undue advantage of liberty or misuse liberty;

(b) not act in a manner injurious to the interest of the prosecution & shall not obstruct or hamper the police investigation and shall not to play mischief with the evidence collected or yet to be collected by the police;

(c) surrender passport, if any, to the Trial Court within a week;

(d) not leave the State of Gujarat without prior permission of the Trial Court concerned;

(e) not to enter Gandhinagar till the evidence of the prosecutrix is recorded except for marking presence and attending the trial;

(f) mark presence before the concerned Police Station once in a month for a period of six months between 11.00 a.m. and 2.00 p.m.;

(g) furnish the present address of his residence to the Investigating Officer and also to the Court at the time of execution of the bond and shall not change the residence without prior permission of Trial Court;

9 . The authorities will release the applicant only if he is not required in connection with any other offence for the time being. If breach of any of the above conditions is committed, the Sessions Judge concerned will be free to issue warrant or take appropriate action in the matter.

10. Bail bond to be executed before the lower Court having jurisdiction to try the case. It will be open for the concerned Court to delete, modify and/or relax any of the above conditions, in accordance with law.

11 . At the trial, the trial Court shall not be influenced by the observations of preliminary nature qua the evidence at this stage made by this Court while enlarging the applicant on bail.

12. The application is allowed in the aforesaid terms. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Direct Service is permitted.