

(2019) 07 DEL CK 0234

In the Delhi High Court

Case No : Criminal Miscellaneous Case No. 3258 Of 2019, Criminal Miscellaneous Application No. 13412, 13413 Of 2019

Sanjay Kumar & Anr

APPELLANT

Vs

State Of Nct Delhi & Anr

RESPONDENT

Date of Decision : 10-07-2019

Acts Referred:

Code Of Criminal Procedure, 1973 — Section 482
Indian Penal Code, 1860 — Section 34, 406, 498A

Citation : (2019) 07 DEL CK 0234

Hon'ble Judges : Sunil Gaur, J

Bench : Single Bench

Advocate : Dhruv Gupta, M.P. Singh

Final Decision : Disposed Off

Judgement

Sunil Gaur, J

Quashing of FIR No. 359/2008, under Sections 498-A/406/34 of IPC, registered at Police Station Gandhi Nagar, Delhi is sought on the basis of

settlement of 18th September, 2018 (Annexure P-14) reached between the parties.

Upon notice, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondent No.1-State submits that respondent No.2, present in the Court, is the

complainant/first-informant of FIR in question and she has been identified to be so, by SI Anuj on the basis of identity proof produced by her.

Respondent No.2, present in the Court, submits that the dispute between the parties has been amicably resolved as today, she has received an amount

Rs. 55,000/- by way of demand draft bearing No. 283009 dated 9th July, 2019 drawn on Punjab National Bank, Branch Shakti Nagar, Delhi from

petitioners. She affirms the contents of her affidavit of 28th June, 2019 supporting this petition and submits that now no dispute with petitioners

survives and so, the proceedings arising out of the FIR in question be brought to an end.

Supreme Court in Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Bhimsinhbhai Vs. State of Gujarat (2017) 9 SCC 64 1has reiterated the parameters for exercising

inherent jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR/criminal complaint, which are as under:-

16.7. As distinguished from serious offences, there may be criminal cases which have an overwhelming or predominant element of a civil dispute.

They stand on a distinct footing insofar as the exercise of the inherent power to quash is concerned.

16.8. Criminal cases involving offences which arise from commercial, financial, mercantile, partnership or similar transactions with an essentially civil

flavour may in appropriate situations fall for quashing where parties have settled the dispute.

16.9. In such a case, the High Court may quash the criminal proceeding if in view of the compromise between the disputants, the possibility of a

conviction is remote and the continuation of a criminal proceeding would cause oppression and prejudice;16.9.

Since the subject matter of this FIR is essentially matrimonial, which now stands mutually and amicably settled between parties, therefore, continuance

of proceedings arising out of the FIR in question would be an exercise in futility.

Accordingly, FIR No. 359/2008, under Sections 498-A/406/34 of IPC, registered at Police Station Gandhi Nagar, Delhi and the proceedings emanating

therefrom are hereby quashed qua petitioners.

This petition and applications are accordingly disposed of.

Dasti.