
(2022) 05 GUJ CK 0039

In the Gujarat High Court

Case No : R/Criminal Misc.Application No. 8262 Of 2022

Satyanarayan @ Sattu Bhanwarlal Prajapati

APPELLANT

Vs

State Of Gujarat

RESPONDENT

Date of Decision : 11-05-2022

Acts Referred:

Code Of Criminal Procedure, 1973 — Section 439

Indian Penal Code, 1860 — Section 114, 120(B), 504, 506(2)

Citation : (2022) 05 GUJ CK 0039

Hon'ble Judges : Niral R. Mehta, J

Bench : Single Bench

Advocate : Kishan H Daiya, J.K. Shah

Final Decision : Allowed

Judgement

Niral R. Mehta, J

1) Rule. Learned APP waives service of notice of rule on behalf of respondent-State.

2) This application is filed by the applicant under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for regular bail in connection with FIR registered as C.R. No. 11210055220024 of 2022 with Salabatpura Police Station, District: Surat for the offence punishable under Sections 420, 120(B), 504, 506(2) and 114 of the Indian Penal Code.

3) Learned advocate appearing on behalf of the applicant submits that considering the nature of offence, the applicant may be enlarged on regular bail by imposing suitable conditions.

4) On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State has opposed grant of regular bail looking to the nature and gravity of the offence.

5) Learned Advocates appearing on behalf of the respective parties do not press

for a further reasoned order.

6) I have heard the learned advocates appearing on behalf of the respective parties and perused the papers. Following aspects are considered :-

I) The applicant is in custody since 08.01.2022;

II) The Investigation is over and the charge-sheet has been filed by the Investigating Officer;

III) Considering the version of the FIR and charge-sheet papers, role of the applicant as emerging is that of an employee working in the shop;

IV) Since the investigation is over and the charge-sheet is filed, no recovery and/or discovery is to be made at the instance of the applicant and therefore, further custodial interrogation is not required;

V) Further, considering the offence and the punishment prescribed, all the offences are predominantly triable by the Magistrate Court and the trial may take its own course; no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the present applicant in jail during the pendency of trial;

VI) Over and above, it is reported that wife of the present applicant is expired and there are two minor children of the present applicant. Keeping in mind this fact, sympathy requires to be extended.

7) This Court has taken into consideration the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of Sanjay Chandra v. Central Bureau of Investigation reported in [2012] 1 SCC 40.

8) In the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the nature of the allegations made against the applicant in the First Information Report, without discussing the evidence in detail, prima facie, this Court is of the opinion that this is a fit case to exercise the discretion and enlarge the applicant on regular bail.

9) Hence, the present application is allowed. The applicant is ordered to be released on regular bail in connection with C.R. No. 11210055220024 of 2022 with Salabatpura Police Station, District: Surat on executing a personal bond of Rs.10,000/= (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court and subject to the conditions that he shall;

(a) not take undue advantage of liberty or misuse liberty;

(b) not act in a manner injurious to the interest of the prosecution & shall not obstruct or hamper the police investigation and shall not to play mischief with the evidence collected or yet to be collected by the police;

(c) surrender passport, if any, to the Trial Court within a week;

(d) not leave the State of Gujarat without prior permission of the Trial Court concerned;

(e) mark presence before the concerned Police Station once in a month for a period of six months between 11.00 a.m. and 2.00 p.m.;

(f) furnish the present address of his residence to the Investigating Officer and also to the Court at the time of execution of the bond and shall not change the residence without prior permission of Trial Court;

10) The authorities will release the applicant only if he is not required in connection with any other offence for the time being. If breach of any of the above conditions is committed, the Sessions Judge concerned will be free to issue warrant or take appropriate action in the matter.

11) Bail bond to be executed before the lower Court having jurisdiction to try the case. It will be open for the concerned Court to delete, modify and/or relax any of the above conditions, in accordance with law.

12) At the trial, the trial Court shall not be influenced by the observations of preliminary nature qua the evidence at this stage made by this Court while enlarging the applicant on bail.

13) The application is allowed in the aforesaid terms. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Direct Service is permitted.