

(2023) 05 GUJ CK 0011

In the Gujarat High Court

Case No : R/Criminal Misc.Application No. 6979 Of 2023

Seemaben Hirenbbhai Agrawal

APPELLANT

Vs

State Of Gujarat

RESPONDENT

Date of Decision : 02-05-2023

Acts Referred:

Code Of Criminal Procedure, 1973 — Section 438
Indian Penal Code, 1860 — Section 120B, 406, 420

Citation : (2023) 05 GUJ CK 0011

Hon'ble Judges : Nirzar S. Desai, J

Bench : Single Bench

Advocate : Manish J Patel, Rajesh K Kanani, Hardik Soni

Final Decision : Allowed

Judgement

Nirzar S. Desai, J

1. By way of the present application under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, the applicants have prayed for anticipatory bail in connection with the FIR being C.R. No.11191027230132 of 2023 dated 3.4.2023 registered with Karanj Police Station, District : Ahmedabad City for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 120B of Indian Penal Code.

2. Learned advocate for the applicants submits that the nature of allegations are such for which custodial interrogation at this stage is not necessary. He further submits that the applicants will keep themselves available during the course of investigation, trial also and will not flee from justice.

3. Learned advocate for the applicants on instructions states that the applicants are ready and willing to abide by all the conditions including imposition of conditions with regard to powers of Investigating Agency to file an application before the competent Court for their remand. He further submit that upon filing of such application by the Investigating Agency, the right of applicants accused to oppose such application on merits may be kept open. Learned advocate,

therefore, submitted that considering the above facts, the applicants may be granted anticipatory bail.

4. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent – State has opposed grant of anticipatory bail looking to the nature and gravity of the offence.

5. Having heard the learned advocates for the parties and perusing the material placed on record and taking into consideration the facts of the case, nature of allegations, gravity of offences, role attributed to the accused, without discussing the evidence in detail, at this stage, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the applicants.

6. This Court has considered following aspects,

(a) As per the FIR the disputed amount is Rs.60,00,000/-. Today learned advocate Mr. Manish J. Patel upon instruction states that both the applicants i.e. applicant No.1 and 4 qua whom this application is preferred are ready and willing to deposit a sum of Rs.60,00,000/- in four installment of Rs.15,00,000/- each for which first installment would be deposited before the trial Court within a period of fifteen days from the date of his released and remaining three installment of Rs.15,00,000/- shall be deposited at an interval of rupees ten days thereafter and the said deposit shall be without prejudice to the rights and contentions of both the parties and shall be subject to final outcome of civil litigation pending between the parties;

(b) Both the applicants are lady accused;

(c) civil dispute is also pending before the competent Court;

(d) applicants have shown willingness to participate in the investigation;

7. In the facts and circumstances of the present case, since the custodial interrogation of the applicants are not required, I am inclined to consider the case of the applicants.

8. This Court has also taken into consideration the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre Vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors., reported at [2011] 1 SCC 694, wherein the Hon'ble Apex Court reiterated the law laid down by the Constitution Bench in the case of Shri Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab, reported at (1980) 2 SCC 565.

9. In the result, the present application is allowed. The applicants are ordered to be released on bail in the event of their arrest in connection with a FIR being C.R. No.11191027230132 of 2023 dated 3.4.2023 registered with Karanj Police Station, District : Ahmedabad City on their executing a personal bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each with one surety of like amount each on the following conditions:

(a) shall cooperate with the investigation and make themselves available for interrogation whenever required;

(b) shall remain present at concerned Police Station on 8.5.2023 between 11.00 a.m. and 2.00 p.m.;

(c) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the fact of the case so as to dissuade their from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer;

(d) shall not obstruct or hamper the police investigation and not to play mischief with the evidence collected or yet to be collected by the police;

(e) shall at the time of execution of bond, furnish the address to the investigating officer and the court concerned and shall not change their residence till the final disposal of the case till further orders;

(f) shall not leave India without the permission of the concerned trial court and if having passport shall deposit the same before the concerned trial court within a week; and

(g) it would be open to the Investigating Officer to file an application for remand if he considers it proper and just and the learned Magistrate would decide it on merits;

10. Despite this order, it would be open for the Investigating Agency to apply to the competent Magistrate, for police remand of the applicants. The applicants shall remain present before the learned Magistrate on the first date of hearing of such application and on all subsequent occasions, as may be directed by the learned Magistrate. This would be sufficient to treat the accused in the judicial custody for the purpose of entertaining application of the prosecution for police remand. This is, however, without prejudice to the right of the accused to seek stay against an order of remand, if, ultimately, granted, and the power of the learned Magistrate to consider such a request in accordance with law. It is clarified that the applicants, even if, remanded to the police custody, upon completion of such period of police remand, shall be set free immediately, subject to other conditions of this anticipatory bail order.

11. At the trial, the concerned trial court shall not be influenced by the prima facie observations made by this Court in the present order.

12. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Direct service is permitted.