
(2020) 09 MP CK 0016

In the Madhya Pradesh High Court

Case No : Writ Petition No. 12830 Of 2020

Smt. Kamlesh Chouhan

APPELLANT

Vs

State of M.P. And Others

RESPONDENT

Date of Decision : 03-09-2020

Acts Referred:

Citation : (2020) 09 MP CK 0016

Hon'ble Judges : Prakash Shrivastava, J

Bench : Single Bench

Advocate : L.C. Patne, Pallavi Khare

Final Decision : Disposed Of

Judgement

By this writ petition, the petitioner is claiming the limited relief in respect of interest which has been charged on the principal amount of excess payment.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the identical circumstances, the coordinate Bench has passed the order dated 15/07/2020 in WP No.9768/2020 in the case of Shankarlal Sharma Vs. State of M.P. and others. He has submitted that the name of the said petitioner Shankarlal Sharma was included in the same list and the case of the present petitioner stands on the same footing, therefore, he has prayed for disposal of the present writ petition on the same terms.

Learned counsel for the State has not disputed the said aspect of the matter.

The coordinate Bench in WP No.9768/2020 in the case of Shankarlal Sharma had passed the following order:-

"Parties through their counsel.

The petitioner before this Court has filed this present petition being aggrieved with the recovery ordered by the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued before this Court that the

petitioner is not aggrieved so far as the principal amount is being recovered from the petitioner, however, he is aggrieved only in respect of interest component.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn the attention of this Court towards the judgment delivered in the case of Rajendra Bhawsar v/s The State of Madhya Pradesh & Others (W.P. No.826/2017) and the co-ordinate Bench of this Court has quashed the recovery in respect of the interest component only.

A writ appeal was also preferred in the matter i.e.

W.A. No.120/2018 (The State of Madhya Pradesh & Others v/s Rajendra Bhawsar) and the Division Bench of this Court has upheld the judgment delivered by the learned Single Judge, meaning thereby, the recovery in respect of the interest component has been quashed.

Learned Government Advocate for the respondents / State has not disputed the aforesaid facts.

This Court, after hearing learned counsel for the parties is of the considered opinion that the present writ petition deserves to be allowed and is accordingly, partly allowed. The respondent shall be free to recover the principal amount. It is further made clear that there will be no recovery in respect of the interest component. In case, the petitioner attains the age of superannuation, the respondents shall be free to recover the amount from his terminal dues.

With the aforesaid, the present writ petition stands partly allowed.

It is needless to mention that in case, certified copy is not available due to some technical reason, the order uploaded on the website of the High Court shall be treated as certified copy for all purposes and the counsel shall be free to obtain certified copy later on as and when facilities are made available to him."

Having regard to the submission made by counsel for the parties, the present writ petition is also disposed off on the same terms by holding that the direction issued in the case of Shankarlal Sharma (supra) will apply mutatis mutandis in the present case also.

C.c. as per rules.