



CNR: KAHC010455882022

NC: 2026:KHC:41113
W.P. No.19992/2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU
DATED THIS THE 5TH DAY OF AUGUST, 2026
BEFORE
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIJAYKUMAR A. PATIL
WRIT PETITION NO.19992/2022 (GM-CPC)

BETWEEN:

SRI. R. KEMPAIAH
S/O LATE MADIAH
AGED ABOUT 72 YEARS
R/AT. RAVANI VILLAGE
KASABA HOBLI
MALAVALLI TALUK
MANDYA DISTRICT.

NOW R/AT. NO.66
TRIVENI NAGARA
BYRAPURA VILLAGE
KASABA HOBLI
T. NARASIPURA TOWN
MYSURU-570029.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. DHARMESH A, ADV.,)

AND:

SMT. SUSHEELAMMA
W/O RANGASWAMY
AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS
R/A RANGASAMUDRA VILLAGE
KASABA HOBLI
T. NARASIPURA TALUK
MYSURU-571110.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI. GIREESHA S.N. ADV.,)

Digitally signed
by RUPA V
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



NC: 2026:KHC:41113
W.P. No.19992/2022

CNR: KAHC010455882022

THIS W.P. IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO QUASH THE ORDER DTD 12.07.2022 PASSED BY THE ADDITIONAL SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC AT T. NARASIPURA IN M.A.NO.7/2022 PRODUCED AT ANNEXURE-A & ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIJAYKUMAR A. PATIL

ORAL ORDER

This petition is filed challenging the order dated 12.07.2022 passed in MA.No.7/2022 by the Additional Senior Civil Judge and JMFC, T. Narasipura (for short 'the Appellate Court').

2. Heard Sri.Dharmesh A., learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, Sri.Gireesha S.N., learned counsel appearing for the respondent and meticulously perused the material available on record.

3. The petitioner filed a suit in OS.No.212/2018 against the respondent seeking permanent injunction restraining the respondent, her servants, agents or any



NC: 2026:KHC:41113
W.P. No.19992/2022

person claiming through or under her from interfering with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the plaintiff over the suit schedule property. The suit schedule property is shown in the plaint as a wet land measuring 39 guntas in Sy.No.640, situated at Sosale Village, Sosale Hobli, T. Narasipura Taluk with *chakbandi*. The respondent/defendant filed a detailed written statement denying the averments made in the plaint. In the said suit, the petitioner/plaintiff filed an application under Order XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (for short 'CPC'), seeking temporary injunction against the defendant, restraining the defendant from interfering with the plaintiff's possession of the suit schedule property pending disposal of the suit. The trial Court, vide order dated 26.11.2021 recorded a finding that the plaintiff had made out the prima facie case, allowed the application and granted an order of temporary injunction. Being aggrieved, the defendant preferred MA.No.7/2022 before the Appellate Court. The Appellate



NC: 2026:KHC:41113
W.P. No.19992/2022

Court, vide order dated 12.07.2022 allowed the appeal by recording the reasons that the boundaries mentioned in the plaint schedule and in the sale deed relied by the plaintiff dated 07.02.2001 are completely different, which is under challenge in the present petition.

4. It is required to be noticed that this Court, vide order dated 17.11.2022, considered the contentions advanced, restrained the defendant from interfering with the plaintiff's possession and enjoyment over the suit schedule property till the next date of hearing and the said interim order has been continued till this day.

5. The Appellate Court allowed the appeal mainly on the ground that there is a discrepancy in the boundaries referred to in the suit schedule property of the plaint and the sale deed dated 07.02.2001. However, the material placed on record by the plaintiff indicates that the property claimed by the plaintiff is in Sy.No.640, measuring 39 guntas, whereas the property claimed by



CNR: KAHC010455882022

the defendants is in Sy.No.638/1A, 1B. The plaintiff has produced the registered sale deed dated 07.02.2001, the rectification deed dated 05.09.2018 and all the revenue records are standing in the name of plaintiff. In these circumstances, I am of the considered view that the plaintiff has made out the prima facie case for grant of temporary injunction, which has been rightly appreciated by the trial Court while granting the temporary injunction. The Appellate Court, without any justifiable reason, erred in reversing the order of the trial Court. Accordingly, I proceed to pass the following:

ORDER

- i. The writ petition is ***allowed***.
- ii. The impugned order dated 12.07.2022 passed in MA.No.7/2022 by the Additional Senior Civil Judge and JMFC, T. Narasipura is hereby set aside.



CNR: KAHC010455882022

NC: 2026:KHC:41113
W.P. No.19992/2022

iii. The temporary injunction granted by the
trial Court vide order dated 26.11.2021
shall be in force till the disposal of the
suit.

Sd/-
(VIJAYKUMAR A. PATIL)
JUDGE

ABK
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 26