



2026:DHC:6222



\$~70

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

%

Date of Decision: 03.08.2026# **CNR No. DLHC010345562026**+ **BAIL APPLN. 3039/2026, CRL.M.A. 23015/2026, CRL.M.A. 23017/2026 & CRL.M.A. 23016/2026**

SUDHAKAR DWIVEDI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Aryan Dev Pandey, Advocate

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Amit Ahlawat, APP for State
with Inspector Subhash Chander**CORAM: JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA****J U D G M E N T (ORAL)**

1. The accused/applicant seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 1/2026 (60000013/2026) of PS Cyber Crime Branch for offence under Section 318(4)/319/340 BNS.

2. Broadly speaking, the prosecution case is as follows. The FIR was registered on the basis of statement of the complainant *de facto* that she and her husband were defrauded of Rs.29,17,000/-. Out of the said cheated amount, a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- was received in the bank account held by

*BAIL APPLN. 3039/2026**Page 1 of 5 pages***GIRISH
KATHPALIA**Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=8401d4889b27a77b28b3f9f4ec45569af39626
f04855d4139702cacc, ou=HIGH COURT OF DELHI, C=I
N
serialNumber=d3a80796451ec45c0765d15570996a4080
c4a2ee0502d2487960801a306a, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.08.03 17:30:09 +05'30'

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
By: RAHUL YADAV
Signing Date: 03.08.2026
17:47:17



2026:DHC:6222



wife of the accused/applicant, which bank account was being operated by the present accused/applicant through his mobile phone. The said bank account was also used for receiving and transferring proceeds of crime during the period from 10.12.2025 to 16.12.2025. Approximately 16 NCRP complaints have been registered against the said account, which involve the cheated amount to the tune of approximately Rs.76,26,000/-. According to the complainant *de facto*, she was holding a joint bank account with her husband in Punjab National Bank and her husband was contacted by someone through Facebook. The said person created an investment profile for her husband on UHNWI application with the help of his iPhone, creating a password 'Sahotas123'. The husband of the complainant *de facto* invested a sum of Rs.29,17,000/- in different bank accounts as advised. Subsequently, when the said application projected profits of Rs.3,00,00,000/-, husband of the complainant *de facto* sought to withdraw the said amount, but the alleged fraudsters demanded additional amount of Rs.49,77,544/-. That led to the complaint, registered as FIR.

3. Learned counsel for accused/applicant submits that accused/applicant himself is a victim of larger fraud in what was a loan transaction, since taking advantage of his greed for money, the main accused persons impressed upon him to open bank account and share the details with them in return for substantial profits. It is also submitted by learned counsel that even a formal contract was executed between the accused/applicant and the main accused persons. Learned counsel for accused/applicant submits that

BAIL APPLN. 3039/2026

Page 2 of 5 pages

GIRISH
KATHPALIA

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=8401548896274775285f9f45568af3962c,
email=8401548896274775285f9f45568af3962c@
HIGH COURT OF DELHI, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.08.03 17:30:22 +05'30'

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
By: RAHUL YADAV
Signing Date: 03.08.2026
17:47:17



Digitally Signed
By:RAHUL YADAV
Signing Date:03.08.2026
17:47:17



2026:DHC:6222



5. What is sought by the accused/applicant is anticipatory bail and not a regular bail. This distinction has to be kept in mind while dealing with the nature of offence involved. Such cyberfrauds, using social media platforms where the fraudsters impersonate as financial experts and induce victims to invest money on promise of exorbitant returns cause immense damage to not just the individual victims, but economy of the country as well. Granting anticipatory bail in such cases also would convey wrong signals across the society as if such damage to the economy of the country can be taken lightly. Investigation into the vertical as well as horizontal expanse of such frauds is an extremely tedious job for the investigators.

6. The broad plea taken by the accused/applicant that he was lured by greed fails to convince. Merely on account of greed, a person cannot be allowed to cheat others. No reasonable explanation has been put forth from the side of the accused/applicant for having permitted a third party to use his bank account credentials. Rather, those bank account credentials were not even his, but of his wife. It is also not explainable as to why the accused/applicant would give his mobile phone number as the number for receiving OTPs pertaining to his wife's bank account. At this stage of dictation, it is submitted by learned counsel for accused/applicant that the said bank account was in the name of proprietorship concern, of which wife of the accused/applicant is the sole proprietor. The fact remains that it is his mobile phone number which was being used to operate that bank account.

BAIL APPLN. 3039/2026

Page 4 of 5 pages

GIRISH
KATHPALIA

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=RAJIV KATHPALIA, email=girish.kathpalia@delhi.nic.in,
c=IN, postalCode=110003, st=Delhi,
serialNumber=d3e8b796431ec45c0765d15570996b40f8bcb
2ee60a2c48796588012045, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.08.03 17:30:50 +05'30'

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
By: RAHUL YADAV
Signing Date: 03.08.2026
17:47:17



2026:DHC:6222



7. On these aspects, investigation is continuing. As mentioned above, in order to unearth the vertical and horizontal expanse of the alleged cyberfraud, the requirement of custodial interrogation expressed by the Investigating Officer is not unjustified.

8. Therefore, I do not find it a fit case to grant anticipatory bail. This anticipatory bail application and the accompanying applications are dismissed.

**GIRISH
KATHPALIA**

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=8401dd889b27a77b2f65ffffe4afec
45569af3962c6fb4835d435f97626cacca,
ou=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,CID - 7047638,
postalCode=110003, st=Delhi,
serialNumber=d3e86796451ec45c07b5d1
5570996b40f80cbd2eee60402c487965ff80
1e26fa, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.08.03 17:31:03 +05'30'

**GIRISH KATHPALIA
(JUDGE)**

AUGUST 03, 2026_{/as}