

(2023) 08 RAJ CK 0057

In the Rajasthan High Court

Case No : Civil Writ Petition No. 10772 Of 2023

Suresh Kumar Sharma And Others

APPELLANT

Vs

State Of Rajasthan And Others

RESPONDENT

Date of Decision : 19-08-2023

Acts Referred:

Citation : (2023) 08 RAJ CK 0057

Hon'ble Judges : Vinit Kumar Mathur, J

Bench : Single Bench

Advocate : Surendra Choudhary

Final Decision : Disposed Of

Judgement

Vinit Kumar Mathur, J

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in similar circumstances and similar matters, the Division Bench of this Court in The Director, Secondary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner Vs. Narendra Kumar Boolchandani & Ors.; D.B. Special Appeal Writ No.362/2020 along with connected matters, while considering the aspect that the issue in question has arisen in large number of cases and the Government has accepted the stand and granted the benefits, disposed of the appeals with the following directions:-

"Learned counsel for the petitioners, however, submitted that the issue is arising in large number of cases and in several departments, the Government has accepted the stand and granted the benefits. If the petitioners are not granted similar benefits, it would amount to discriminatory treatment. Whatever be the grievance of the petitioners they can be resolved at the level of the administration or by the Court through bipartite hearing. In view of the peculiar circumstances, these appeals are disposed of by permitting the Government to take into account the representations already made or to be filed by any of the petitioners within one month. In the process, the authorities will bear in mind the stand taken by the department in similar cases. Such representations would be

decided in accordance with law unmindful of the observations and directions issued by the learned Single Judge in the impugned orders. Eventually, if the grievances of the petitioner still survive after the Government decision, it is open for the petitioners to seek redressal thereafter in accordance with law."

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present writ petition of the petitioners may also be disposed of in light of the abovementioned judgment.

3. In view of the submissions made, the present writ petition is disposed of with the same directions as issued by the Division Bench of this Court in the case of Narendra Kumar Boolchandani (supra).

4. The order has been passed based on the submissions made in the petition, the respondents would be free to examine the veracity of the submissions made in the petition and only in case, the averments made therein are found to be correct, the petitioners would be entitled to the relief.