
(2025) 11 OHC CK 1881
In the Orissa High Court
Case No : Writ Petition (C) No. 17980 Of 2025

Surya Narayan Patanayak

APPELLANT

Vs

State Of Odisha And Others

RESPONDENT

Date of Decision : 07-11-2025

Acts Referred:

Constitution Of India, 1950 — Article 226, 227

Citation : (2025) 11 OHC CK 1881

Hon'ble Judges : A.C.Behera, J

Bench : Single Bench

Advocate : M.R. Padhy, S. Nayak

Final Decision : Disposed Of

Judgement

A.C. Behera, J.

1. This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India, 1950 praying for directing the Tahasildar Buguda for implementation of the order dated 02.09.2009 passed in SRP No.408 of 2006 by the Joint Commissioner, Settlement and Consolidation, Berhampur(Opposite Party No.2).

2. Heard from the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for the State.

3. On this aspect, the propositions of law has already been clarified by the Apex Court in a case between Jayamma and others vrs. The Dy. Commr. Hassan Dist. Hassan and others : reported in 2013(3) Civil Law Times-94 that,

"if a Sub-ordinate authorities in Government does not act in terms of directions or instructions issued by the superior authority, it is not for the High Court to compel that, Sub-ordinate authority to comply with the instructions or directions issued by the superior authority. Because, High Court is not the executing forum of the instructions issued by the Government or superior officers of the

Government to their Sub-ordinate Officers, because, it is the duty of the superior officer(who passed the order) to see the implementation of its own order by its Sub-ordinate. The High Court is not the executing forum of instructions/directions issued by the Superior Authority of the Government to its Subordinate Officers. So, it is the duty of the Superior Authority of the Government(who passed the order) to implement his/her own order properly.”

4. In view of the principles of law enunciated by the Apex Court in the ratio of the aforesaid decision, it is the duty of the superior officer of the Government to see that, his/her order/direction is implemented by its sub-ordinate officer, to whom, the direction was issued for implementation.

5. So, by applying the propositions of law enunciated in the ratio of the aforesaid decision of the Apex Court, it is felt proper to dispose of this writ petition finally giving liberty to the petitioner to approach the authority, i.e., Joint Commissioner, Settlement and Consolidation, Berhampur(Opposite Party No.2), who had passed the order on dated 02.09.2009 passed in SRP No.408 of 2006 by filing an application for its proper implementation by the Tahasildar, Buguda, annexing the certified copy of this judgment and in case of non-response to the same, the petitioner can approach the High Court seeking appropriate relief concerning non-response of his application by the Joint Commissioner, Settlement and Consolidation, Berhampur(Opposite Party No.2).

6. So, with the aforesaid observations and directions, this writ petition filed by the petitioner is disposed of finally.