

(2023) 07 GUJ CK 0042
In the Gujarat High Court

Case No : R/Criminal Misc.Application No. 8619 Of 2023

Vikas @ Vijay @ Kidani S/O Pappubhai Vanzara	APPELLANT
Vs	
State Of Gujarat	RESPONDENT

Date of Decision : 11-07-2023

Acts Referred:

Code Of Criminal Procedure, 1973 — Section 439
Gujarat Prohibition Act, 1949 — Section 65(a), 65(e), 81, 83, 98(2), 116(b)

Citation : (2023) 07 GUJ CK 0042

Hon'ble Judges : Nirzar S. Desai, J

Bench : Single Bench

Advocate : Urvesh M Prajapati, Utkarsh Sharma

Final Decision : Allowed

Judgement

Nirzar S. Desai, J

1. The present application is filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, for regular bail in connection with FIR being C.R.No.11191024221102 of 2022 dated 13.11.2022 registered with Ramol Police Station, District: Ahmedabad for offences punishable under Section 65(a), 65(e), 81, 83, 98(2), 116(b) of the Prohibition Act.
2. Learned advocate appearing on behalf of the applicants submits that considering the nature of the offence, the applicants may be enlarged on regular bail by imposing suitable conditions.
3. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent-State has opposed grant of regular bail looking to the nature and gravity of the offence.
4. Learned advocates appearing on behalf of the respective parties do not press for further reasoned order.
5. Having heard the learned advocates for the parties and perusing the material

placed on record and taking into consideration the facts of the case, nature of allegations, gravity of offences, role attributed to the accused, without discussing the evidence in detail, this Court is of the opinion that this is a fit case to exercise the discretion and enlarge the applicants on regular bail.

6. This Court has considered following aspects:-

- (i) applicants are in jail since 19.1.2023;
- (ii) investigation is over and charge-sheet is filed;
- (iii) trial may take its own time;
- (iv) the applicant is alleged to be proposed purchaser of the illegal liquor;

In the facts and circumstances of the present case, I am inclined to consider the case of the present applicants.

7. This Court has also taken into consideration the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of Sanjay Chandra Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation, reported in [2012] 1 SCC 40.

8. Hence, the present application is allowed. The applicants are ordered to be released on regular bail in connection with FIR being C.R.No.11191024221102 of 2022 dated 13.11.2022 registered with Ramol Police Station, District: Ahmedabad on executing a personal bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with one surety of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial Court and subject to the conditions that he shall;

- [a] not take undue advantage of liberty or misuse liberty;
- [b] not act in a manner injurious to the interest of the prosecution;
- [c] surrender passport, if any, to the lower court within a week;
- [d] not leave the India without prior permission of the concerned trial court;
- [e] mark presence before the concerned Police Station between 1st to 10th day of every English calendar month for a period of six months between 11:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m.;
- [f] furnish the present address of residence to the Investigating Officer and also to the Court at the time of execution of the bond and shall not change the residence without prior permission of the concerned trial court;

The authorities shall adhere to its own Circular relating to COVID-19 and, thereafter, will release the applicants only if they are not required in connection with any other offence for the time being. If breach of any of the above conditions is committed, the Sessions Judge concerned will be free to issue warrant or take appropriate action in the matter. Bail bond to be executed before the lower Court having jurisdiction to try the case. It will be open for the concerned Sessions Court to delete, modify and/or relax any of the above

conditions, in accordance with law.

9. At the trial, the Trial Court shall not be influenced by the prima facie observations made by this Court in the present order.

10. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Direct service is permitted.