



2026:DHC:6225



\$~74

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**% *Date of Decision: 03.08.2026*# **CNR No. DLHC010347162026**+ **BAIL APPLN. 3062/2026 & CRL.M.A. 23134/2026****YASH ALIAS MAAN**

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Pankaj, Advocate.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State with SI Mohit (*through video conferencing*) and SI Ram Chander, PS Moti Nagar.**CORAM: JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA****J U D G M E N T (ORAL)**

1. The accused/applicant seeks regular bail in case FIR No. 644/2023 of PS Moti Nagar for offence under Section 307/34 IPC read with Section 25/27/54/59 of Arms Act.

2. Broadly speaking, allegation against the accused/applicant is as follows. On the night intervening 09.11.2023 and 10.11.2023, in the course of an altercation between the complainant *de facto* and the accused/applicant, the latter fired a gun shot causing injury on right thigh of

*BAIL APPLN. 3062/2026**Page 1 of 3 pages***GIRISH
KATHPALIA**Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
c=IN, email=girish.kathpalia@delhi.nic.in, serialNumber=115001, cn=GIRISH
KATHPALIA, email=girish.kathpalia@delhi.nic.in, serialNumber=115001, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.08.03 17:47:17 +05'30'

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
By: RAHUL YADAV
Signing Date: 03.08.2026
17:47:17



the former. After medical examination and treatment at Acharyashree Bhikshu Government Hospital, the injured complainant *de facto* was discharged.

3. Learned counsel for accused/applicant submits that the injured complainant *de facto* has already been examined in trial and there is no eye witness to the alleged incident.

4. Learned APP for State assisted by Investigating Officer/SI Ram Chander and SI Mohit accepts notice and strongly opposes the bail application. It is submitted by learned APP that the accused/applicant and the complainant *de facto* had old enmity since both of them were in children's home as children in conflict with law and it is apprehended that once released on bail, the accused/applicant shall cause harm to the complainant *de facto* or his family.

5. One significant aspect of this case is that the bullet or even the empty shell thereof was not recovered. Neither from the thigh of the injured complainant *de facto* nor from anywhere else in the vicinity, the fired bullet or its empty shell could be recovered. Even in the MLC, it would be significant to note that the doctor observed a puncture wound on right thigh of the complainant *de facto* but also found no active bleed. The MLC was prepared within almost one hour of the alleged firing. If it was a punctured wound, the question is where the allegedly fired bullet go, because there is no exit wound. Of course on this aspect, the trial court shall take independent view on the basis of evidence adduced during trial.

BAIL APPLN. 3062/2026

Page 2 of 3 pages

GIRISH
KATHPALIA

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=8401d88b9c27a77b265ff1f4e4c55694f3962c6fb483
5d435f97626caca, ou=HIGH COURT OF DELHI, CN= 7047638,
postalCode=110003, st=Delhi,
serialNumber=d8e6796451ec45c07b5d15570996b40f00cbd2e
04e0402c487965f80b1e26fa, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2036.08.03 12:37:52 +05'30'



2026:DHC:6225



6. But for present purposes, suffice it to record that no public witness remains to be examined in trial and the accused/applicant cannot be kept in jail endlessly.
7. As regards the apprehension that if released on bail the accused/applicant would harm the complainant *de facto*, that cannot be a ground to deny him bail. For, it is the duty of the State to ensure protection to all. However, learned counsel for accused/applicant himself assures that the accused/applicant shall not contact the complainant *de facto* or any of his family members.
8. Considering the above circumstances, I do not find any reason to deprive further liberty to the accused/applicant. Therefore, the bail application is allowed and accused/applicant is directed to be released on bail subject to his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Trial Court.
9. A copy of this order be immediately transmitted to the concerned Jail Superintendent for informing the accused/applicant.
10. Accompanying application also stands disposed of.

**GIRISH
KATHPALIA**

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=8401dd889b27a77b2f65ffffe4afe
c45569af3962c6fb4835d435f97626cacca,
ou=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,CID = 7047638,
postalCode=110003, st=Delhi,
serialNumber=d3e86796451ec45c07b5d1
5570996b40f80cbd2eee60402c487965ff8
01e26fa, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.08.03 17:33:06 +05'30'

**GIRISH KATHPALIA
(JUDGE)**

AUGUST 03, 2026/ dr

BAIL APPLN. 3062/2026

Page 3 of 3 pages